



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, translated, or distributed in any form or by any means—whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise—without the prior written permission of the Editor-in-Chief of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*.

All copyrights in the articles published in this journal vest with *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*, unless otherwise expressly stated. Authors are solely responsible for the originality, authenticity, accuracy, and legality of the content submitted and published.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles are exclusively those of the respective authors. They do not represent or reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, or Management of *White Black Legal*.

While reasonable efforts are made to ensure academic quality and accuracy through editorial and peer-review processes, *White Black Legal* makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, regarding the completeness, accuracy, reliability, or suitability of the content published. The journal shall not be liable for any errors, omissions, inaccuracies, or consequences arising from the use, interpretation, or reliance upon the information contained in this publication.

The content published in this journal is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice, professional advice, or legal opinion. *White Black Legal* expressly disclaims all liability for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising directly or indirectly from the use of any material published herein.

ABOUT WHITE BLACK LEGAL

White Black Legal – The Law Journal is an open-access, peer-reviewed, and refereed legal journal established to provide a scholarly platform for the examination and discussion of contemporary legal issues. The journal is dedicated to encouraging rigorous legal research, critical analysis, and informed academic discourse across diverse fields of law.

The journal invites contributions from law students, researchers, academicians, legal practitioners, and policy scholars. By facilitating engagement between emerging scholars and experienced legal professionals, *White Black Legal* seeks to bridge theoretical legal research with practical, institutional, and societal perspectives.

In a rapidly evolving social, economic, and technological environment, the journal endeavours to examine the changing role of law and its impact on governance, justice systems, and society. *White Black Legal* remains committed to academic integrity, ethical research practices, and the dissemination of accessible legal scholarship to a global readership.

AIM & SCOPE

The aim of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* is to promote excellence in legal research and to provide a credible academic forum for the analysis, discussion, and advancement of contemporary legal issues. The journal encourages original, analytical, and well-researched contributions that add substantive value to legal scholarship.

The journal publishes scholarly works examining doctrinal, theoretical, empirical, and interdisciplinary perspectives of law. Submissions are welcomed from academicians, legal professionals, researchers, scholars, and students who demonstrate intellectual rigour, analytical clarity, and relevance to current legal and policy developments.

The scope of the journal includes, but is not limited to:

- Constitutional and Administrative Law
- Criminal Law and Criminal Justice
- Corporate, Commercial, and Business Laws
- Intellectual Property and Technology Law
- International Law and Human Rights
- Environmental and Sustainable Development Law
- Cyber Law, Artificial Intelligence, and Emerging Technologies
- Family Law, Labour Law, and Social Justice Studies

The journal accepts original research articles, case comments, legislative and policy analyses, book reviews, and interdisciplinary studies addressing legal issues at national and international levels. All submissions are subject to a rigorous double-blind peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* seeks to foster critical legal thinking and contribute to the development of law as an instrument of justice, governance, and social progress, while expressly disclaiming responsibility for the application or misuse of published content.

THE ROLE OF BLOOM'S TAXONOMY IN THE LEGAL EDUCATION

AUTHORED BY - V.R.KALYANI

School Of Excellence In Law

Abstract

This study takes a closer look at how bringing Bloom's Taxonomy into law schools can fundamentally change the way we train future lawyers. For a long time, legal education was stuck in textbooks, keeping classroom theory entirely separate from the actual, everyday practice of law. However, by tapping into the three core areas of Bloom's 1956 framework—how we think (cognitive), how we handle ethics and values (affective), and how we physically perform (psychomotor)—educators can create a much more holistic learning experience. By examining the Bar Council of India's mandatory practical classes, such as Moot Court and Professional Ethics, this research shows exactly how structured, hands-on learning helps students step confidently from the lecture hall into the courtroom.

Beyond just improving academic grades, this shift in teaching has a massive impact on society as a whole. The Indian Constitution, specifically Article 39-A, promises free legal aid and equal justice for everyone. To actually keep that promise, the country desperately needs a steady stream of highly skilled, deeply ethical lawyers. As the Supreme Court highlighted in major cases like *State of Maharashtra v. Manubhai Pragaji Vashi*, the government must properly fund law colleges so they can afford to teach these intensive practical skills. Final checkpoints, like the All India Bar Examination, act as a safety net to ensure every new advocate has truly mastered these learning domains before taking on real clients.

Guided by OSCOLA citation standards, this paper spots what is missing in current academic literature, asks targeted research questions, and lays out a practical, ready-to-use lesson plan. Ultimately, the research concludes that using Bloom's Taxonomy to organize legal curricula doesn't just make life easier for teachers—it actively helps law schools graduate capable advocates who are ready to fight for social justice and protect the rule of law.

Key Words: Bloom's Taxonomy, Legal Education

List of Abbreviations

- **AIBE:** All India Bar Examination
- **Art:** Article
- **BCI:** Bar Council of India
- **CLE:** Clinical Legal Education
- **OSCOLA:** Oxford University Standard for Citation of Legal Authorities
- **s / sec:** Section
- **SCC:** Supreme Court Cases

List of Authorities

Statutes and Rules

- Advocates Act 1961
- Bar Council of India Rules of Legal Education 2008
- Constitution of India 1950

Cases

- *Bar Council of India v Bonnie Foi Law College* (2023) 7 SCC 756
- *State of Maharashtra v Manubhai Pragaji Vashi* [1995] INSC 463, (1995) 5 SCC 730

Reports and Official Documents

- Law Commission of India, *184th Report on the Legal Education & Professional Training and Proposals for Amendments to the Advocates Act, 1961* (2002)

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

1. Introduction and Historical Background

Law school in India has come a long way. Looking back to the mid-19th century—specifically around 1855—the objective of formal legal schooling was extremely narrow. It sought only to equip students with enough basic knowledge to serve the lower and high courts as *Vakils* (pleaders) or junior judicial personnel.^[1] For roughly a hundred years, students merely sat through monotonous lectures on mandatory subjects, with virtually no emphasis on independent legal research or practical courtroom preparation.^[1]

A major turning point occurred with the passing of the Advocates Act 1961, which authorized the Bar Council of India (BCI) to oversee both the legal profession and the standards of legal instruction.^[2] However, despite these administrative upgrades, legal scholars and judges continued to spot a glaring flaw: the instructional methods were still heavily stuck in theory. The traditional classroom approach prized academic case analysis over the everyday, practical skills needed by practicing attorneys. To successfully bridge the immense gap between academic theory and active courtroom advocacy, today's law schools require a much stronger and more cohesive educational strategy.^[3]

2. Aim and Objective of the Research

What is the primary goal of this research? Ultimately, we want to explore how a renowned educational framework—Bloom's Taxonomy—can be seamlessly woven into modern law school curricula. We aim to demonstrate how this psychological framework helps educational institutions meet both the BCI's strict practical standards and the broader social justice goals enshrined in our Constitution.

Specifically, we aim to:

1. Break down the three core learning domains of Bloom's Taxonomy (how we think, how we feel, and how we physically act) and illustrate why they are indispensable for competent lawyering.
2. Connect the BCI's mandatory clinical and practical courses directly to these distinct learning levels.
3. Show how major Supreme Court decisions can be utilized as teaching instruments to help law students develop a robust professional and moral identity

3. Research Gap

This brings us to the missing piece of the puzzle. Despite the wealth of literature on both educational psychology and Indian legal reforms, there is a distinct gap in the research. Most scholars discuss Bloom's Taxonomy and the Bar Council's clinical training requirements as two completely separate topics. Very few studies have attempted to map these psychological learning domains *directly* onto the BCI's mandatory practical courses in a granular, lesson-by-lesson format. Furthermore, there is a noticeable lack of research illustrating how landmark Supreme Court judgments—which actively shape the funding and regulation of law schools—can be explicitly used as pedagogical tools to build a student's ethical foundation inside the classroom.

4. Research Questions

To bridge this gap and guide our exploration, we will address three core questions:

1. How can we adapt Bloom's Taxonomy—which is often presented as a rigid, step-by-step ladder—to fit the chaotic, multi-tasking reality of complex legal reasoning?
2. In what specific ways do the BCI's Schedule III clinical papers naturally align with Bloom's cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains?
3. How have landmark Supreme Court rulings shaped the funding and regulation of law schools, ensuring they have the resources to teach these higher-level skills and fulfill their constitutional mandate to provide free legal aid?

5. Limitations of the Research

Before we dive into the existing literature and our core questions, it is important to acknowledge the practical boundaries of this study. First, while Bloom's Taxonomy is a brilliant theoretical tool, modern educators caution that its traditional, step-by-step structure can oversimplify how learning actually happens.^[4] Real-world legal reasoning is messy and non-linear, making it difficult to perfectly box a student's progress into rigid categories.

Second, rolling out high-level clinical education requires massive funding and infrastructure—such as functioning mock courtrooms and active legal aid clinics.^[5] Many regional and private law colleges face severe resource shortages, which limits their ability to fully engage the physical and ethical learning domains. Finally, because the Indian university system still relies heavily on standardized, written end-of-semester exams, accurately measuring a student's ethical growth or courtroom presence remains a systemic challenge.

6. Literature Review

To understand where legal pedagogy is heading, we must look at what has already been written. Early scholarship largely focused on critiquing the archaic "lecture and memorize" methods left behind by the colonial era. However, the discourse shifted dramatically following the Law Commission of India's reports (most notably the 184th Report in 2002), which forcefully advocated for the integration of clinical, hands-on training to prepare students for the realities of legal practice.^[6]

Simultaneously, in the realm of educational psychology, a wealth of literature has been built around Bloom's Taxonomy since its inception in 1956.^[7] Scholars have continually debated its application, with recent literature pushing back against its linear hierarchy and advocating for a "complexity theory" approach to professional education.^[4] Meanwhile, legal researchers have extensively documented the structural impacts of the Bar Council of India's 2008 Rules of Legal Education, analyzing how the mandatory clinical papers have disrupted traditional timetables and assessment methods.^[8]

7. Scheme of Lessons

7.1 Module 1: Understanding How Law Students Learn - The Psychology of Legal Pedagogy

In law schools, the objective of education goes far beyond memorizing a massive rulebook. The true objective is a complete professional transformation. You must alter how you process information, how you navigate ethical crossroads, and how you physically conduct yourself in a judicial setting. To facilitate this transformation, modern educators rely heavily on educational psychology—specifically, Bloom's Taxonomy.

Introduced in 1956 by educational psychologist Benjamin Bloom and his colleagues, this taxonomy provides a structured way to categorize how human beings absorb and apply knowledge.^[7] Bloom discovered that true learning occurs across three distinct areas, or "domains." To become a fully competent advocate, a student must achieve mastery in all three.^[9]

The Cognitive Domain: Developing the Legal Mind

This area focuses purely on the intellect and cognitive processing.^[10] In a standard law school environment, this is where a vast majority of time is spent. It scales from basic memorization all the way up to complex, innovative problem-solving.

- **Remembering and Understanding:** Educator begin with the foundational elements. For instance, you memorize the fact that Article 21 of the Constitution safeguards personal liberty, and you comprehend that this prevents the state from detaining individuals without due process.
- **Applying and Analyzing:** Next, tutor translate these rules into real-world scenarios. When a client presents a complicated issue, you dissect the facts and apply the relevant statutory provisions to determine the viability of their case.
- **Evaluating and Creating:** At the pinnacle of this domain, you are critiquing existing arguments and forging entirely new legal strategies. A top-tier appellate advocate, for example, will evaluate the logical gaps in a lower court's decision and craft a novel, unprecedented legal theory to persuade the higher bench.

The Affective Domain: Building Professional Ethics

This domain is centered around emotions, values, professional motivations, and attitudes.^[11] While the cognitive domain dictates *how* to practice law, the affective domain dictates *why* you must practice it with integrity. A highly intelligent lawyer who lacks an ethical foundation is fundamentally dangerous to society.

- **Receiving and Responding:** Emphasis to actively listening have to be adopted during the seminars and participating willingly in debates regarding fairness and justice.
- **Valuing and Organizing:** The educator begin to deeply value these principles. Recognize that providing pro bono legal aid is not merely a graduation hurdle, but an essential service to legal community. An Educator must integrate these principles into their personal moral framework.
- **Characterizing:** At the highest level, one's internalized values dictate their everyday behavior. Legal Professional must build a reputation as an attorney of impeccable character who would never deceive a judge or opposing counsel, even if doing so would guarantee a victory.

Mastering the Physical Skills of Law

This category covers physical coordination, movement, and motor skills.^[12] While many view law as a purely intellectual, desk-bound profession, courtroom advocacy is highly physical.

- **Perception and Set:** A masterful trial attorney possesses acute sensory awareness. During a cross-examination, you must physically observe a witness's body language, notice subtle signs of hesitation, and immediately adjust your physical posture and line of questioning.^[12]
- **Mechanism and Adaptation:** This involves the actual physical mechanics of legal

work—whether that means swiftly and accurately formatting a complex contractual document or dynamically adjusting your vocal projection and physical stance in a loud, crowded courtroom.

Rethinking the Nature of Law

Historically, Bloom's Taxonomy was taught as a rigid ladder: a student had to achieve the lowest steps (like remembering) before attempting the higher ones (like creating). Today, however, educational theorists acknowledge that real-world legal practice is a highly complex environment that defies straight-line thinking.^[4]

When a lawyer actively arguing a case before a judge, he do not simply recall a fact, pause, analyze it, pause, and then evaluate the outcome. He execute all these steps simultaneously. He is recalling precedents (Cognitive), maintaining a steady, professional composure under pressure (Affective), and physically projecting his voice across the room (Psychomotor). Consequently, progressive law schools are abandoning pure rote memorization in favor of immersive, hands-on learning environments that stimulate all three domains at the exact same time.

7.2 Module 2: Putting Theory into Practice - Clinical Legal Education and Bar Council Standards

For decades, the prevailing criticism of Indian law graduates was that they were far too theoretical. Students could spend years digesting textbooks and passing written exams, yet upon graduation, many lacked the basic ability to interview a client, draft a simple legal notice, or present themselves properly in court.

The Bar Council of India took a major step to remedy this by issuing the Rules of Legal Education in 2008.^[8] Under Schedule III, the BCI made it compulsory for every recognized law degree to include four practical "Clinical Papers". These mandatory courses force students to step away from the library and engage with real-world, practical scenarios.

The Four Pillars of Clinical Legal Education

1. Drafting, Pleading, and Conveyancing (Paper I) This subject requires you to convert your theoretical legal knowledge into tangible, physical skills. It is not enough to explain the concept of a "sale deed"; you must physically draft one. Students compile a portfolio of original legal documents over the course of the semester, which prevents them from merely copying old templates and forces them to originate their own customized legal instruments.^[13]

2. Professional Ethics and Professional Accounting (Paper II) Directly targeting the

Affective Domain, this course covers the Advocates Act 1961 and the rules of professional conduct. The objective goes beyond memorizing the penalties for contempt of court; it is designed to help students internalize the profound ethical responsibility of managing a client's funds and safeguarding their legal rights.^[13]

3. Alternative Dispute Resolution (Paper III) Because a vast majority of disputes are settled outside the courtroom, this paper focuses on negotiation, mediation, and arbitration. Here, students deploy their cognitive skills to evaluate potential legal compromises and rely on their affective skills to communicate with opposing parties in a calm, strategic manner during mock negotiation sessions.^[13]

4. Moot Court Exercise and Internship (Paper IV) This is the ultimate synthesis of all three learning domains. During a mock trial (moot court), students must dissect a fictional legal dispute (Cognitive), maintain strict courtroom decorum (Affective), and physically present their oral arguments while fielding intense questioning from the bench (Psychomotor).^[14] Additionally, they must attend real court sessions, observe live trials, and maintain a contemporaneous diary of the proceedings.

The Strict Rules of Assessment

You cannot learn how to litigate a case by skimming a textbook the night before an exam. Recognizing this reality, the BCI enforces a stringent 70% attendance mandate for these practical classes.^[15] If a student skips their practical training or mock trials, they are barred from sitting for their final university exams. These clinical subjects are evaluated continuously throughout the semester via portfolios, daily diaries, and live oral defenses (viva voce).

The Importance of Legal Citation (OSCOLA)

Another deeply practical skill is mastering the correct citation of legal research. Standardized formats, such as the OSCOLA method, demand intense attention to detail.^[16] A student must know precisely where to place a comma, when to enclose a publication year in square brackets, and when to italicize a specific case name. Mastering this format is not merely an aesthetic exercise; it is a rigorous cognitive and psychomotor drill that trains the brain to be highly meticulous—a habit that is absolutely essential when drafting flawless legal briefs for a judge.

7.3 Module 3: Law Schools, the Courts, and Social Justice - Judicial Imperatives in Education

One might wonder: why is the regulatory framework surrounding legal education so intense? Why do the highest courts concern themselves with how a college operates its classrooms? The

answer lies in the Constitution of India and the fundamental promise of social justice.

India remains a developing nation where widespread poverty is a harsh reality.^[17] When citizens are struggling to secure basic necessities, they certainly lack the financial means to hire a lawyer to defend their rights. If marginalized populations cannot access the judicial system, the guarantee of "Justice" highlighted in our Constitution's Preamble becomes an empty promise.

The Constitutional Promise of Free Legal Aid

To combat this inequality, Article 39-A of the Constitution directs the government to offer free legal aid, ensuring that poverty does not block any citizen's path to justice.^[17] Furthermore, the Supreme Court has explicitly connected this right to free legal aid with Article 21, which guarantees the fundamental right to life and personal liberty.

However, the state cannot magically generate free legal aid; it requires a vast, dedicated workforce of well-trained, highly ethical lawyers to perform the actual work. Since these advocates are exclusively produced by law schools, ensuring that students are properly educated—and that they truly value the concept of social justice—is quite literally a binding constitutional duty.

The Landmark Case: State of Maharashtra v. Manubhai Pragaji Vashi

Operating a high-quality law school requires significant financial resources. Institutions must pay for expert faculty, extensive library databases, and specialized infrastructure like mock courtrooms.

This financial reality triggered a major legal battle in 1995 in the landmark case *State of Maharashtra v Manubhai Pragaji Vashi*.^[18] The state government was distributing financial grants-in-aid to private colleges teaching engineering and medicine, but it refused to extend the same funding to private law colleges, citing a shortage of public funds.

The Supreme Court firmly dismissed the state's financial defense. The Court emphasized that a robust, effective legal education system is an absolute prerequisite for providing the free legal aid promised by the Constitution. If law schools collapse due to a lack of resources, society as a whole suffers from a severe shortage of capable advocates. The Court concluded that extending financial support to recognized law colleges is a binding constitutional obligation, not merely a discretionary charitable act by the state.^[18] This ruling was a monumental victory, guaranteeing that law schools would have the financial backing necessary to build infrastructure for practical, hands-on training.

Ensuring Quality Control: The All India Bar Examination

Even with adequate funding and mandatory practical classes, the legal profession requires a

final safeguard to ensure that only truly competent individuals are permitted to practice law. The Supreme Court reinforced this safeguard in the 2023 case *Bar Council of India v Bonnie Foi Law College*.^[19]

The Court unequivocally upheld the BCI's authority to conduct the All India Bar Examination (AIBE). While individual universities are responsible for educating students, the BCI acts as the ultimate gatekeeper. The AIBE serves as the final safety net, verifying that every law graduate has genuinely synthesized their cognitive knowledge and ethical training before they receive a license to represent the public in a court of law.

8. Research Analysis and Research Findings

8.1 The Non-Linear Reality of Legal Cognition

A primary finding of this research is that the traditional, step-by-step ladder of Bloom's Taxonomy is largely too rigid to capture the realities of modern legal practice. ^[20] During live courtroom advocacy, a lawyer does not linearly move from remembering a statute to analyzing it. Instead, legal reasoning demands simultaneous execution across all three learning domains: rapidly recalling judicial precedents (Cognitive), maintaining strict ethical composure under pressure (Affective), and physically projecting confidence to the bench (Psychomotor). Consequently, the analysis indicates that progressive law schools must abandon purely rote memorization in favor of immersive, chaotic learning environments that trigger these domains concurrently.

8.2 Clinical Papers as Domain Synthesizers

The findings demonstrate that the BCI's 2008 Rules of Legal Education successfully operationalize Bloom's framework through Schedule III's mandatory clinical papers. ^[21]

* Cognitive and Psychomotor Integration: The "Drafting, Pleading, and Conveyancing" paper forces students to physically originate custom legal instruments rather than copy templates, elevating them to the 'Creating' tier of the taxonomy.

* Affective Application: The "Professional Ethics" paper shifts ethical conduct from a memorized concept to an internalized value system, which is crucial for responsibly managing client rights and funds.

* Total Synthesis: The "Moot Court Exercise" serves as the ultimate pedagogical tool. It requires students to dissect fictional legal problems, maintain courtroom decorum, and deliver oral arguments, effectively blending all three of Bloom's learning domains into a single

assessment.

8.3 Judicial Imperatives and Quality Control

Finally, the research highlights that teaching these higher-order legal skills requires massive infrastructure, such as active legal aid clinics and mock courtrooms. The Supreme Court's ruling in *State of Maharashtra v Manubhai Pragaji Vashi* recognized this reality by mandating state financial grants for private law colleges, framing this funding as a constitutional necessity to ensure access to free legal aid. [^22] Furthermore, the analysis confirms that the All India Bar [has genuinely synthesized their cognitive and ethical training before being granted a license to practice.[^23]

9. Conclusion

Elevating Indian legal education from a dry, textbook-heavy routine into a dynamic, skills-based professional journey requires a highly structured game plan. Integrating the psychological principles of Bloom's Taxonomy into the legal curriculum provides an ideal blueprint for this necessary transformation.

When we analyze how students truly absorb information, it becomes glaringly clear that mastering the law is a complex, non-linear process. A student must engage their intellect, activate their moral compass, and deploy physical advocacy skills all at the exact same time. The Bar Council of India's mandatory clinical papers bring this psychological theory to life by challenging students through intensive drafting exercises, complex ethical debates, and immersive mock trials. By strictly enforcing attendance and utilizing continuous grading models, institutions ensure that students cannot simply hide in the back of a lecture hall. Ultimately, this bold educational vision is protected and sustained by the judiciary. As the Supreme Court has affirmed repeatedly, the State is constitutionally obligated to fund and support legal education. Law schools are the sole incubators capable of producing the ethical, highly skilled advocates required to deliver free legal aid to the vulnerable and keep the machinery of justice functioning for all citizens.

10. Suggestions

Given how effectively Bloom's Taxonomy pairs with our national legal education standards, the following practical steps are suggested to elevate law schools even further:

1. **Train the Teachers in Pedagogy:** Universities must invest in teaching their law

professors how to teach effectively. Faculty members should receive formal training in educational psychology so they can naturally integrate active learning and Bloom's multifaceted principles into their daily lectures.

2. **Implement Strict Portfolio Audits:** The Bar Council should establish a rigorous auditing system to randomly verify student portfolios and court diaries. This will ensure that students are genuinely completing their hands-on work in real-time, rather than fabricating their records at the end of the term.
3. **Incorporate Digital Competencies:** Bloom's Taxonomy must be updated for the digital age. Crucial modern skills—such as leveraging AI for legal research, electronically filing court documents, and navigating advanced legal databases—must be actively integrated into the curriculum and formally tested.
4. **Maintain Robust Institutional Funding:** Honoring the spirit of the *Manubhai Pragaji Vashi* judgment, state governments must proactively fund the legal aid clinics operated by law colleges. This dual-purpose funding provides students with invaluable real-world practice while delivering a vital constitutional service to marginalized communities.

Footnotes

[¹]: 'Legal Education in India' (Probono India) http://probono-india.in/Indian-Society/Paper/37_Legal%20Education%20in%20India%20%20Book.pdf accessed 11 September 2026.

[²]: Advocates Act 1961, s 4.

[³]: 'Transforming Legal Education in India: The Role of Clinical Legal Education and Trial Advocacy' (ResearchGate) <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391742568> accessed 11 September 2026.

[⁴]: 'Critique of Bloom's Taxonomy' (Educator's Technology, 2025) <https://www.educatorstechnology.com/2025/04/blooms-taxonomy.html> accessed 11 September 2026.

[⁵]: 'Empowering Indian Legal Education: Integrating Clinical Training and Trial Advocacy' (Preprints) https://www.preprints.org/frontend/manuscript/d0e04efb40dd82ccb46e69b6f68f1852/download_pub accessed 11 September 2026.

[⁶]: Law Commission of India, *184th Report on the Legal Education & Professional Training and Proposals for Amendments to the Advocates Act, 1961* (2002).

[⁷]: Benjamin Bloom (ed), *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: The Classification of*

Educational Goals (Longmans 1956)

[^8]: Bar Council of India Rules of Legal Education 2008.

[^9]: 'Assessing Data on Student Learning in Cognitive & Affective Domains' (Study.com) <https://study.com/academy/lesson/assessing-data-on-student-learning-in-cognitive-affective-domains.html> accessed 11 September 2026.

[^10]: 'Bloom's Taxonomy Overview and Levels' <https://www.scribd.com/document/738343986/Bloom-s-taxonomy-KKO> accessed 11 September 2026.

[^11]: 'Understanding the Affective Domain of Learning' <https://www.graduateprogram.org/blog/understanding-the-affective-domain-of-learning/> accessed 11 September 2026.

[^12]: 'Understanding Bloom's Taxonomy' <https://www.scribd.com/document/723421204/Bloom-s-taxonomy-Wikipedia> accessed 11 September 2026.

[^13]: Bar Council of India Rules of Legal Education 2008, sch III.

[^14]: 'Clinical Legal Education in India — The Four BCI Practical Papers Explained' (Veritect) <https://veritect.ai/research/students/clinical-legal-education-bci-practical-papers-india> accessed 11 September 2026. [^15]: Bar Council of India Rules of Legal Education 2008, r 12.

[^16]: 'OSCOLA Journal Article Citations' (Gentext) <https://gentext.ai/guides/en/oscola-journal-article-citation/> accessed 11 September 2026

[^17]: Constitution of India 1950, arts 21, 39A

[^18]: *State of Maharashtra v Manubhai Pragaji Vashi* [1995] INSC 463, (1995) 5 SCC 730.

[^19]: *Bar Council of India v Bonnie Foi Law College* (2023) 7 SCC 756.

[^20]: 'Critique of Bloom's Taxonomy' (Educator's Technology, 2025) <https://www.educatorstechnology.com/2025/04/blooms-taxonomy.html> accessed 11 September 2026.

[^21]: Bar Council of India Rules of Legal Education 2008, sch III.

[^22]: *State of Maharashtra v Manubhai Pragaji Vashi* [1995] INSC 463, (1995) 5 SCC 730.

[^23]: *Bar Council of India v Bonnie Foi Law College* (2023) 7 SCC 756.