



INTERNATIONAL LAW  
JOURNAL

---

**WHITE BLACK  
LEGAL LAW  
JOURNAL**  
**ISSN: 2581-  
8503**

**Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal**

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

[WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN](http://WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN)

### **DISCLAIMER**

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK  
LEGAL

## **EDITORIAL** **TEAM**

### **Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS ) Indian Administrative Service** **officer**



a professional  
Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti-Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala. He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University. He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru and diploma in Public

### **Dr. R. K. Upadhyay**

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB , LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.





## **Senior Editor**

### **Dr. Neha Mishra**



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

### **Ms. Sumiti Ahuja**

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



### **Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal**

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.



### **Dr. Rinu Saraswat**

Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

### **Dr. Nitesh Saraswat**

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



### **Subhrajit Chanda**

BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

## ***ABOUT US***

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal providededicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

# **INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS LITIGATION AND JURISDICTION: CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS**

AUTHORED BY - DR. SATISH CHANDRA<sup>1</sup> & ARPITA MISHRA<sup>2</sup>

## **ABSTRACT**

Current globalised markets and businesses depend significantly on intellectual property. Intellectual property matters in different countries are often hampered by different legal systems, trouble with applying the laws and problems with enforcement. This paper looks closely at these problems, exploring the details of international disputes over intellectual property, why they happen and what impact they have on worldwide trade. Its scope also includes the part IP plays in encouraging innovation and building the economy, all while dealing with problems such as various laws, culture, enforcing IP and changes from digital technology. This work also analyzes how well and poorly major international agreements on intellectual property have functioned, specifically the Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights Agreement and the treaties by the World Intellectual Property Organization. The fights between Gucci and Alibaba as well as Apple and Samsung bring out the need for flexible and creative answers. Some suggested ways to manage these difficulties involve closer international teamwork, technology for intellectual property and encouraging use of alternative solutions to disputes.

## **1. Introduction**

Global markets and companies rely a lot on intellectual property today. Intellectual property protection is obstructed by various legal systems, difficulties in using the laws and issues with their enforcement all over the world. This work examines these issues by studying international issues related to intellectual property, explaining their causes and discussing the effects they have on international commerce. It also looks at the impact of IP on promoting progress, economic development and overcoming problems with laws, culture, aligning IP and technology and more. It also studies how well and poorly major international agreements on

---

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, School of Law, Justice and Governance, Gautam Buddha University, Greater Noida

<sup>2</sup> LL.M., Student School of Law, Justice and Governance, Gautam Buddha University, Greater Noida



intellectual property have worked, including the Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights Agreement and the agreements from the World Intellectual Property Organization. Competitions between Gucci and Alibaba and between Apple and Samsung, reveal the importance of seeking flexible and original solutions. Recommendations cover teamwork on an international level, the development of modern systems for intellectual property and encouraging alternative ways to handle disputes.

## **2. The Essence of International Intellectual Property Conflicts**

A conflict about intellectual property arises when these rights are not recognized by nations everywhere. Disagreements may occur because participants are working for global companies, have created new art or ideas or are inventors, who can start the conflicts. South Korea, the United States and Europe have begun trials for large cases involving patent infringement on mobile phones and designs (Apple Inc. v. Apple iPhone LLC, 2011). Samsung makes electronics under the brand Samsung Electronics.

There is a lot of debate about the proper place for handling disputes over international intellectual property rights. Should rights be established in a particular region, actions seeking them may be limited to that region. When many countries break the law, choosing a good place for the trial is not easy. Following intellectual property rules on a national and international level is challenging due to the issues.

Issues occur. While some nations allow software algorithms copyright, in others they are either banned or have strict requirements attached. As a result, the approach that courts in the UK take for dilution is not always the same as that taken by the European Courts. In certain legal systems, property rights are supported by fines meant to improve education and encourage public support for good causes. But in the case of world disputes, disagreements about intellectual property policies can lead to problems.

Online platforms make the matter even more difficult. The internet now allows people to transfer protection for copyright, trade goods and trademarks from one location to another. According to observers, people had trouble with fake products being sold and with accounts that took advantage of popular personal profiles to stream restricted video. Because law enforcement agencies have difficulties identifying and dealing with online intellectual property



issues due to privacy regulation, it becomes tough for middle organizations, internet service providers, platforms and additional sectors concerned with this to exercise intellectual property rights.

Since violations of laws on the internet can be committed anywhere and noticed anywhere, figuring out which laws to use is not straightforward in digital cases. When issues are important to many countries, it is essential that all countries unify their intellectual property laws. Properly safeguarding intellectual property assets needs an awareness of the latest changes in technology and culture around the world.

### **3. Obstacles while tackling the International Intellectual Property Conflicts.**

Often, international problems involving intellectual property are about deciding what courts can oversee them, how rules are applied, differing laws and customs, issues of money and the part played by information and communication technology.

#### **Jurisdictional Challenges;**

If intellectual property laws are not in place everywhere, it is uncertain where to bring a case after copyright violations in different countries. Understanding which area applies can be difficult since the outcomes of court decisions can be quite different among the nations. You have the option to examine case judgments made by the courts in matters similar to Apple v. Specialists in the Samsung case note that today there is no global standard for how to resolve patent problems.

#### **Why the Legal System Differences from Ukraine to Britain**

Getting a decision to be fair across the entire country is extremely tough. Bringing new businesses into different countries is hard because their laws and methods of enforcement vary so much. A nation without effective intellectual property laws may not allow people who were injured to sue in other countries.

What is culturally true and legal is also an important issue.

How a nation manages intellectual property rights for copyright, trademark and patents typically causes more disputes. Sometimes economic issues are central, though in other places

it is the ethical matters that are most important. Cultural attitudes about drugs explain why it can be hard to enforce drug patents, because while some nations value intellectual protection, others want knowledge to be accessible.

### **Financial Considerations:**

global patent protection often requires a business to meet high expenses. Addressing complicated matters in courts around the world often involves big costs. Small businesses that have fewer than a particular number of employees cannot grant protection to their IP in many nations. Because they do not have large budgets, family businesses cannot put on events as effectively as big firms.

### **We are witnessing technological progress right now.**

It seems that both approaches can be useful, but our current issues make it hard for traditional technology to keep up. With blockchain technology, IP rights are safe and can be managed easily and AI helps detect any violation. Even so, international use of these technologies is unclear for now because the institutions are still transitioning.

To solve international issues related to intellectual property, it is necessary to address tough questions, bring laws together across states, back nations collaborating and adopt new technologies to solve the conflicts. For countries to effectively solve IP conflicts, all the mentioned actions must be carried out.

## **4. International Legal Frameworks and Their Limitations.**

Treaties among nations that govern IP rights provide the main basis for regulation of these issues. Nevertheless, there are several problems in carrying out these policies.

### **Overview of Important Treaties**

The TRIPS Agreement managed by the WTO introduces the least requirements for safeguarding patents, trademarks, copyrights and trade secrets. It requires the organization to resolve issues fair and without discrimination. Reciprocal agreements about copyrights and intellectual property have been improved by the Berne Convention and Paris Convention. In recent years, these agreements made the process of international trademark and patent registration much simpler. WIPO oversees these treaties by running its Arbitration and

Mediation Centre and helping member states build relevant capacities.

### **Limitations of These Frameworks**

Even though the framework is important, it has several weaknesses.

- The fact that treaties may be applied differently leads to boundary cases and unstable enforcement among nations. In particular, TRIPS makes it possible for developing countries to take more time to meet the rules which results in differences between countries.
- A country must ensure that a treaty is put into practice. Lack of effective enforcement of these treaties in a number of places weakens the worldwide system for intellectual property and still allows counterfeiting to happen.
- Technological changes have led to digital piracy and AI and many treaties now struggle to sort these issues out.
- Greater global coordination, updated legal frameworks, and technology-driven solutions are required for dealing with these shortcomings.

## **5. Legal Strategies**

Adaptive and prepared strategies, bringing together preventive actions, effective methods to handle disputes and new technologies, are necessary for managing problems in international IP.

### **Preventive Measures**

All businesses can protect their IP rights by registering them with PCT and Madrid Protocol in all legal jurisdictions and by regularly auditing their IP assets, preparing strong licensing agreements and signing non-disclosure contracts.

### **Dispute Resolution Mechanisms**

Each case with a dispute may be resolved through litigation, arbitration or mediation. Consequently, for IP right disputes, alternative ways to resolve conflicts such as arbitration and mediation are more suitable, specifically those from WIPO's Arbitration and Mediation Centre which are easy to use, sensible cost options and quick. Yet, going to court provides enforceable results, although it is expensive and has many steps.



### **Leveraging Technology**

Blockchain and similar special technologies help by always recording the owners of intellectual property. Special AI tools are used so that any attempt to misuse trademarks and sell fake goods can be found on the internet. In order for it to perform better, it requires a legal basis.

### **Case Studies**

It is clear from the Apple Samsung patent dispute that multi national jurisdiction litigation can be a problem since each nation reaches different conclusions on the same issue, revealing the need for a common principle. Another dispute between Gucci and Alibaba has highlighted how hard it is to stop online fake products and the need for businesses to join forces with digital platforms.

It demonstrates that, for IP to be protected nowadays, several actions including prevention, ADR and technology are needed.

## **6. Conclusion and Recommendations**

Growing international trade and reliance on intangible assets lead to serious problems in handling IP disputes that stretch across borders, due mainly to problems in clear jurisdiction and solutions for enforcement and new technologies. While TRIPS and other international treaties offer a safe environment for IP, they do not deal with the latest problems of digital theft or uneven enforcement. To improve the handling of international IP disputes, some recommendations are presented here.

It is now necessary for healthy global cooperation that WIPO and WTO should revise treaties and encourage nations to use similar practices in dealing with millennial matters like digital piracy.

1. Governments and companies in many parts of the world should consider using blockchain for secure IP registration and artificial intelligence to continuously monitor cases of copyright infringement.
2. Introducing ADR: Bringing arbitration and mediation into action abroad, for example, with WIPO's Arbitration and Mediation Centre, can highly support the key goals of efficient, inexpensive and timely dispute handling.

3. International organisations ought to help developing nations by teaching them modern ways and sharing important tools for improving their IP systems.
4. Businesses and online platforms should connect and use their combined experience to tackle counterfeiting and piracy of IP.
5. Applying those strategies will make it easier for involved parties to handle disputes across borders, promoting a safer and more expected global IP setting.

### **References**

1. World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO). (2023). Global Intellectual Property Treaties. Available at: [www.wipo.int](http://www.wipo.int)
2. European Union. (2022). Technology Transfer Block Exemption Regulation. Available at: [www.eur-lex.europa.eu](http://www.eur-lex.europa.eu)
3. World Trade Organization (WTO). (2023). TRIPS Agreement and Global IP Standards. Available at: [www.wto.org](http://www.wto.org)
4. International Chamber of Commerce (ICC). (2022). Dispute Resolution in Intellectual Property Licensing. Available at: [www.iccwbo.org](http://www.iccwbo.org)
5. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO). (2023). Strategies for IP Protection in Global Markets. Available at: [www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

WHITE BLACK  
LEGAL