INTERNATIONAL LAW JOURNAL

WHITE BLACK LEGAL LAW JOURNAL ISSN: 2581-8503

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

EDITORIAL TEAM

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service officer

Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti-Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala. He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University. He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru and a professional diploma in Public Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB, LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & PHD from university of Kota. He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the Ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.

Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra

Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; PH.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St. Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,
Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing PH.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.

Dr. Rinu Saraswat

Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, PH.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, PH.D, PGDSAPM
Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.
More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on CR.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.

Subhrajit Chanda

BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); PH.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal provide dedicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN PATENT EXAMINATION AND ENFORCEMENT: GLOBAL TRENDS AND INDIAN ADAPTATION

AUTHORED BY - PRABHAKAR

Abstract

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into intellectual property (IP) systems has emerged as a transformative development in the twenty-first century, particularly in the domain of patents. Patent offices worldwide face mounting challenges due to rising application volumes, technological complexity, and the demand for faster adjudication. AI tools—such as machine learning, natural language processing, and big data analytics—are increasingly being deployed to streamline prior art searches, classification, drafting, and even elements of substantive examination. In enforcement, AI applications extend to infringement detection, litigation support, and counterfeit monitoring across digital marketplaces. While the United States and the European Union have largely confined AI to supportive roles within patent examination, both regions maintain a human-centric approach to inventorship, as demonstrated by recent rulings against recognizing AI as an inventor. China, by contrast, has integrated AI more deeply into its patent administration, reflecting its broader strategic emphasis on technological leadership. At the international level, the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is fostering dialogues on harmonizing AI-IP standards through initiatives such as WIPO Translate and the "Conversation on IP and AI" series. In India, although digitization of the patent system has advanced, the adoption of AI remains limited. The Indian Patent Office faces persistent backlogs, limited AI infrastructure, and legal ambiguity concerning AI-generated inventions. This article examines how India can leverage global best practices to adopt AI in patent examination and enforcement, while ensuring that human oversight and statutory safeguards remain central. Recommendations include piloting AI projects for prior art search, enhancing examiner training, and clarifying legal frameworks regarding AI inventorship. By balancing innovation with human discretion, India has the opportunity to strengthen its patent ecosystem and align with evolving international frameworks.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Patent Examination, Intellectual Property, Enforcement, India.

Introduction

The rapid evolution of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming how intellectual property (IP) systems operate worldwide. Intellectual property, and particularly patents, form the backbone of knowledge-driven economies by incentivizing innovation and safeguarding technological advancements. Patents serve not only as legal instruments for protecting inventions but also as repositories of technical knowledge that fuel further research and development. However, the administration of patents has become increasingly complex due to the surge in global applications and the multidisciplinary nature of modern innovations.[1]

Traditionally, patent examination and enforcement relied heavily on human expertise patent examiners conducting exhaustive manual searches, legal professionals drafting claims with careful precision, and courts adjudicating infringement disputes. While effective, this system is resource-intensive and often results in significant backlogs, delays, and inconsistencies in decision-making. The problem is compounded by the exponential growth of patent filings in domains such as biotechnology, nanotechnology, information and communication technologies (ICT), and artificial intelligence itself. For instance, the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) has reported a steady year-on-year increase in patent applications, with global filings crossing 3.5 million in 2022, stretching the capacity of patent offices across the world.[2]

Against this backdrop, AI through technologies such as machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), computer vision, and big data analytics has emerged as a disruptive force in patent administration. AI is increasingly used to augment the capabilities of patent offices, legal practitioners, and enforcement agencies. From automating prior art searches to detecting patent infringements in real time, AI promises greater efficiency, accuracy, and scalability. Yet, its adoption raises important questions about transparency, accountability, and the role of human judgment in legal decision-making.[3]

This article explores the role of AI in patent examination and enforcement, with a dual focus.

---

[1] Shamnad Basheer, The 'Eureka Myth': Artificial Intelligence as Inventor and the Patent Conundrum, 12 *J. Intell. Prop. Stud.* 45 (2021).

[2] World Intellectual Property Organization, *WIPO Conversation on Intellectual Property and Artificial Intelligence – First Session Report* (2019), https://www.wipo.int/meetings/en/details.jsp?meeting_id=51767

[3] Reto M. Hilty et al., *Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property: Towards a New International Framework* (Max Planck Institute for Innovation & Competition, Research Paper No. 21-09, 2021).

First, it examines global practices, highlighting initiatives by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), the European Patent Office (EPO)[4], the China National Intellectual Property Administration (CNIPA), and WIPO. Second, it analyzes India's evolving framework, assessing both challenges and opportunities in integrating AI into its patent system. Through this comparative study, the article underscores how India can learn from international best practices while crafting a framework tailored to its domestic needs.

AI in Patent Examination

Patent examination is a cornerstone of the IP system, as it ensures that only genuine innovations are granted legal protection. The process typically involves assessing novelty, inventive step, and industrial applicability, which in turn require comprehensive prior art searches, claim analysis, and technical evaluation. AI tools are increasingly being deployed to support these functions, reducing human workload and improving the quality of decisions.

1. Prior Art Search

Prior art search is one of the most time-consuming and critical aspects of patent examination. Examiners must sift through a vast and growing corpus of documents, including granted patents, pending applications, scientific literature, and non-patent technical sources. Traditional keyword-based searches often fall short in identifying conceptually similar inventions, especially when synonyms, technical jargon, or different languages are involved.

AI-powered search engines address these limitations through:

➢ *Semantic Search:* Unlike keyword matching, semantic search interprets the meaning behind phrases, enabling the detection of conceptually similar inventions even when expressed differently.

➢ *Multilingual Processing:* AI tools can translate and interpret documents across jurisdictions, bridging language barriers. The EPO's adoption of Patent Translate, which integrates AI-driven machine translation, exemplifies this.

➢ *Predictive Analytics:* Machine learning algorithms analyze historical data to predict the probability of patent grant based on similar past applications, aiding examiners and applicants alike.

➢ *Scalability*: AI systems can scan millions of documents in seconds, drastically reducing

---

[4] European Patent Office, *Patent Translate*—Machine Translation Service, https://www.epo.org/searching-for-patents/helpful-resources/patent-translate.html

search times compared to manual methods.

2. Patent Drafting Assistance

Patent drafting is another area where AI is proving valuable. Drafting claims and specifications requires a delicate balance claims must be broad enough to provide strong protection, yet precise enough to withstand challenges. Errors or inconsistencies in drafting can result in weak patents or rejection during examination.

AI-driven platforms such as PatentPal, Specif.io, and others assist in generating well-structured patent claims and technical descriptions by:

➢ Suggesting standard formats and templates for different technology domains.
➢ Reducing redundancy and inconsistencies in terminology.
➢ Identifying gaps in claim coverage.

Although human oversight remains indispensable, these tools help inventors and law firms produce higher-quality applications more efficiently. This, in turn, benefits patent offices, which receive clearer, more consistent applications that are easier to examine.[5]

3. Automated Examination

Beyond search and drafting, some jurisdictions are experimenting with AI in substantive examination the process of determining whether an invention meets legal requirements of novelty, inventive step, and industrial applicability.

The China National Intellectual Property Administration (CNIPA) is at the forefront of this shift. It uses machine learning algorithms for patent classification, similarity checks, and identification of vague or overlapping claims. AI assists in detecting inconsistencies between claims and specifications, thereby flagging potential problems before human examiners make final determinations.

Such automation does not aim to replace human examiners entirely but to augment decision-making by reducing routine tasks. This allows examiners to focus on more complex judgment-based aspects of patent law.[6]

---

[5] Peter K. Yu, The Rise of Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Patent Law, 67 *U.C.L.A. L. Rev.* 1234 (2020).
[6] Niti Aayog, *National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence* (2018), https://www.niti.gov.in/national-strategy-

AI in Patent Enforcement

While the examination stage of the patent lifecycle determines which inventions are granted legal protection, the enforcement stage ensures that the granted rights are respected and upheld in the marketplace. Effective enforcement is crucial for maintaining the integrity of the patent system, deterring infringement, and protecting the economic interests of innovators. However, patent enforcement has traditionally faced significant challenges: monitoring markets for infringement is resource-intensive, litigation is expensive and time-consuming, and post-grant oppositions often rely on manual reviews of large document sets.

AI technologies are increasingly being deployed to address these challenges, offering novel solutions for infringement detection, litigation support, and post-grant opposition. By automating monitoring and analysis, AI enhances efficiency, reduces costs, and provides strategic insights for patent holders, law firms, and enforcement authorities.[7]

1. Infringement Detection

Detecting infringement whether intentional copying or unintentional overlap is one of the most difficult aspects of patent enforcement. Traditionally, it required manual inspections, whistleblowing, or costly investigations. AI-powered tools have revolutionized this space by enabling real-time surveillance and pattern recognition across physical and digital markets. Key applications include:

➤ *Image Recognition and Computer Vision*: AI systems can scan e-commerce platforms, product catalogs, and even social media advertisements to identify counterfeit goods or products visually similar to patented designs.

➤ *Data Mining and Web Scraping*: AI algorithms monitor massive amounts of online data, flagging suspicious listings or sellers that may be infringing on patented technologies.

➤ *Market Surveillance*: Companies use AI-driven tools to track supply chains, identify counterfeit hubs, and predict potential infringement hotspots based on trade flows.

2. Litigation Support

Litigation remains the most visible form of patent enforcement, but it is notoriously expensive,

---

artificial-intelligence
[7] Pankhuri Agarwal & Arushi Gupta, AI and Patents: Indian Legal Landscape and Global Lessons, 5 *Indian J. L. & Tech.* 112 (2022).

uncertain, and time-consuming. AI tools now play a critical role in helping law firms, courts, and businesses navigate this process more effectively.[8]

Applications include:

- *Case Law Search and Analysis*: NLP-based AI systems quickly analyze thousands of past judgments to identify precedents, extract relevant legal principles, and highlight judicial reasoning trends.

- *Brief Drafting and Document Review:* AI-assisted drafting tools help legal teams prepare briefs and arguments with greater speed, reducing clerical errors and ensuring consistency across documents.

- *Predictive Litigation Analytics:* By examining historical rulings, judge behavior, and litigation outcomes, AI models estimate the probability of success, likely duration of cases, and potential damages.

- *Cost-Benefit Assessment:* AI tools simulate scenarios where cases may end in settlement, licensing, or trial, giving businesses strategic guidance on whether to litigate or negotiate.

3.   Post-Grant Opposition and Revocation

The patent system also provides mechanisms for third parties to challenge the validity of granted patents. This is crucial for maintaining the quality of the patent system by ensuring that only genuine, non-obvious, and novel inventions remain enforceable. However, identifying weak patents often involves reviewing massive amounts of prior art, a task that is both laborious and prone to oversight.

AI's role in this area includes:

- *Automated Prior Art Analysis:* AI systems cross-reference granted patents with vast databases of technical literature to identify overlooked prior art.

- *Weak Patent Identification:* Algorithms can detect patents with overly broad, vague, or inconsistent claims, flagging them as potential targets for opposition.

- *Pattern Recognition:* AI identifies patterns in patent prosecution histories that may suggest a higher likelihood of invalidity.[9]

---

[8] E. Richard Gold & Michael Madison, Artificial Intelligence, Innovation Policy, and Intellectual Property, 12 *J. L. & Innovation* 1 (2020).

[9] Peter K. Yu, The Rise of Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Patent Law, 67 *U.C.L.A. L. Rev.* 1234 (2020).

Global Trends in AI and Patent Systems

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into patent systems is unfolding unevenly across jurisdictions, shaped by different legal traditions, policy priorities, and technological capacities. While some countries adopt AI mainly as a supportive administrative tool, others experiment with deeper automation of patent processes. A comparative overview of global practices provides important insights into how India might chart its path.

❖ United States

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)[10] has been at the forefront of experimenting with AI in patent administration. AI is primarily used for:

➢ *Patent Classification*: AI algorithms assist in sorting patent applications into the correct technical categories, reducing examiner workload.

➢ *Prior Art Search:* The USPTO is developing machine learning tools to enhance search capabilities, enabling more accurate and faster identification of relevant prior art.

➢ *Data Analytics:* AI is also used internally to monitor patent examination efficiency and identify bottlenecks.

Despite these advancements, the USPTO has remained cautious about extending AI's role to inventorship. In Thaler v. USPTO (2021)[11], the U.S. courts upheld the Office's position that only natural persons can be named as inventors, rejecting applications filed on behalf of the AI system "DABUS." This reflects a strong policy stance: while AI can assist in innovation, it cannot replace human inventorship under U.S. law.

The U.S. approach thus balances technological adoption with legal conservatism, ensuring that human accountability and creativity remain at the heart of the patent system.

❖ European Union

The European Patent Office (EPO)[12] has invested significantly in AI, particularly for prior art search and language translation. The EPO's Patent Translate system, powered by AI-driven machine translation, allows examiners and applicants to access patent documents across 32 languages, improving cross-border accessibility.[13]

---

[10] U.S. Patent & Trademark Office (USPTO), *Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property Policy* (2020), https://www.uspto.gov/initiatives/artificial-intelligence

[11] *Thaler v. Hirshfeld*, 558 F. Supp. 3d 238 (E.D. Va. 2021).

[12] European Patent Office (EPO), Decision of the Legal Board of Appeal in Case J 8/20 (Dec. 21, 2021), https://www.epo.org/law-practice/case-law-appeals/recent/j200008eu1.htm

[13] European Patent Office, *Patent Translate*—Machine Translation Service, https://www.epo.org/searching-for-patents/helpful-resources/patent-translate.html

In terms of inventorship, the EPO has mirrored the U.S. approach. In the DABUS cases (2020–2021), it rejected applications naming an AI system as the inventor, reiterating that the European Patent Convention requires inventors to be natural persons. This reflects a broader EU emphasis on human-centric AI, consistent with its regulatory approach under the proposed AI Act, which prioritizes accountability, transparency, and ethical safeguards.

Additionally, the EPO has been piloting AI tools to assist examiners in classification and clustering of applications. However, human examiners retain full control over substantive decisions, underscoring the EU's stance that AI is a supportive tool, not a substitute for human expertise.

❖ China

China has emerged as the global leader in adopting AI for intellectual property administration. The China National Intellectual Property Administration (CNIPA) integrates AI across multiple stages of the patent lifecycle:

➢ *Classification and Search:* AI tools automate the categorization of patent applications and conduct similarity checks.

➢ *Examination Assistance:* CNIPA employs machine learning to flag vague or overlapping claims, ensuring consistency in examination.

➢ *Patent Enforcement:* China invests heavily in AI-powered enforcement systems that monitor marketplaces, track counterfeit goods, and predict infringement risks.[14]

China's strategy reflects its broader ambition to dominate emerging technologies. The government actively funds AI-IP research, integrates AI into court systems (including specialized IP tribunals that use AI for case management), and promotes AI adoption across industries.

Unlike the U.S. and EU, China has not explicitly addressed AI inventorship in judicial rulings but continues to explore whether AI-generated outputs can qualify as intellectual creations. This pragmatic and aggressive integration of AI is consistent with China's drive to position itself as a global innovation hub.[15]

---

[14] Tanuj K. Bhatia, Artificial Intelligence in Indian IP Regime: Challenges and Prospects, 25 *J. Nat'l L. Univ. Delhi L. Rev.* 67 (2022).

[15] China National Intellectual   Property Administration   (CNIPA),   Annual Report 2022, https://english.cnipa.gov.cn/

World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)

At the international level, the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) plays a coordinating role in shaping the dialogue around AI and IP. Key initiatives include:

- *WIPO Translate:* An AI-driven translation service that improves accessibility of global patent documents, helping examiners and applicants overcome language barriers.

- *WIPO AI Tools:* A suite of machine learning applications designed to support patent search and examination worldwide.

- *WIPO "Conversation on IP and AI" (since 2019)*[16]*:* A global forum where policymakers, academics, and industry stakeholders discuss issues such as AI inventorship, ownership of AI-generated works, liability, and the future of IP frameworks.[17]

WIPO's initiatives emphasize the importance of harmonization in global patent practices. Since IP law is territorial, divergent national approaches to AI could create uncertainty for multinational innovators. By facilitating dialogue, WIPO aims to develop principles that balance innovation incentives with accountability and global coherence.

Indian Context: Challenges and Opportunities

India has emerged as one of the fastest-growing economies with a vibrant technology and pharmaceutical sector, both of which heavily rely on strong intellectual property (IP) protection. In recent years, India has witnessed a steady increase in patent filings, particularly in information and communication technologies (ICT), pharmaceutical innovations, biotechnology, and even artificial intelligence (AI)-related inventions. According to WIPO's World Intellectual Property Indicators Report (2023), India is among the top ten countries in terms of patent applications filed.

Despite this progress, the Indian Patent Office (IPO) still relies predominantly on traditional and manual methods for substantive examination. Although the government has undertaken digitization measures such as the Comprehensive e-Filing System, the Patent Search and Information System (InPASS), and the automation of workflows, these initiatives primarily enhance transparency and accessibility rather than introducing AI-based decision-making.

---

[16] World Intellectual Property Organization, *WIPO Conversation on Intellectual Property and Artificial Intelligence – First Session Report* (2019), https://www.wipo.int/meetings/en/details.jsp?meeting_id=51767

[17] World Intellectual Property Organization, *World Intellectual Property Indicators 2023* (2023), https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-941-2023-en-world-intellectual-property-indicators.pdf

Compared to advanced offices such as the USPTO, EPO, or CNIPA[18], India remains at a nascent stage of AI adoption in patent administration.

Challenges

1. *Volume of Applications*: The increasing volume of patent filings, coupled with a shortage of trained examiners, often leads to delays and pendency in the system. Manual examination methods cannot keep pace with the exponential growth of applications, creating backlogs that undermine the efficiency of the system.

2. *Lack of AI Infrastructure*: Unlike patent offices in the U.S., China, or Europe, the IPO does not yet employ AI-powered analytics, classification, or prior art search engines at scale. This technological lag restricts India from achieving efficiency comparable to global standards.[19]

3. *Legal Uncertainty Regarding AI-Generated Inventions*: The Patents Act, 1970 currently recognizes only natural persons or juridical entities as inventors and applicants. The law does not provide clarity on whether an AI system can be named as an inventor or whether AI-assisted outputs qualify as patentable inventions. This uncertainty creates ambiguity for innovators working with AI-generated technologies.[20]

4. *Capacity Building and Skill Gaps*: Even if AI infrastructure is introduced, examiners and IP professionals will need substantial training to use these tools effectively. Over-reliance on algorithms without proper understanding could risk errors, bias, or reduced accountability in decision-making. [20]

Opportunities

1. *Adopting AI Tools for Prior Art Search*: India could collaborate with WIPO's AI services and integrate machine learning tools into its search systems. This would allow faster and more accurate identification of prior art, reducing examination time and improving patent quality.

2. *AI-Powered IP Databases*: Developing a comprehensive, AI-enabled database that integrates Indian patent data with global repositories could help examiners identify duplication, monitor infringement, and strengthen enforcement across industries.

---

[18] China National Intellectual Property Administration (CNIPA), Annual Report 2022, https://english.cnipa.gov.cn/

[19] Niti Aayog, *National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence* (2018), https://www.niti.gov.in/national-strategy-artificial-intelligence

[20] Patents Act, No. 39 of 1970, INDIA CODE (1995), https://www.indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/1795

3. *Capacity Building Programs*: Specialized training modules on AI in IP law could be introduced for examiners, IP attorneys, and policymakers. Partnerships with academic institutions and international patent offices would foster technical readiness.

4. *Policy Reforms*: Drawing from global practices, India could update its IP laws and issue official guidelines to clarify the status of AI-generated inventions, inventorship, and ownership. Maintaining a human-centric inventorship standard while allowing AI-assisted innovations would provide both legal certainty and innovation incentives.

Recommendations for India

To effectively adapt to the AI-driven transformation of patent systems, India must adopt a phased and strategic approach:

1. *Pilot AI Projects in Patent Examination*: Start with limited projects in areas like AI-assisted prior art searches, classification, and translation to test feasibility and efficiency without overhauling the system at once.

2. *Strengthen International Collaboration*: Partner with WIPO, USPTO, and EPO for knowledge sharing, technical guidance, and access to AI-enabled patent tools. Such collaboration would also help harmonize India's practices with global standards.

3. *Legal Clarification on AI-Generated Inventions*: Amend the Patents Act, 1970 or issue interpretive guidelines to explicitly address inventorship and ownership in AI-assisted or AI-generated inventions. This will provide clarity for innovators, reduce litigation, and align India with global debates.[21]

4. *Develop AI-Enabled Enforcement Platforms*: Create AI-driven systems to monitor e-commerce platforms, supply chains, and counterfeit hubs in order to strengthen enforcement. Collaborations with industry and technology providers would make monitoring scalable and effective.

5. *Balance Human Oversight with Automation*: Ensure that AI remains a tool to support examiners and judges, rather than replacing them. Human discretion should remain central in substantive examination and enforcement decisions, thereby maintaining accountability and trust.[22]

---

[21] Patents Act, No. 39 of 1970, INDIA CODE (1995), https://www.indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/1795

[22] Reto M. Hilty et al., *Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property: Towards a New International Framework* (Max Planck Institute for Innovation & Competition, Research Paper No. 21-09, 2021).

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence is rapidly reshaping the global patent landscape by enhancing efficiency in examination and strengthening mechanisms of enforcement. Jurisdictions such as the United States, European Union, and China have already embraced AI in varying capacities, each reflecting distinct policy priorities and technological ambitions. While the U.S. and EU emphasize a human-centric inventorship model, China aggressively pursues system-wide integration of AI, reinforcing its position as an innovation leader. WIPO, meanwhile, seeks to harmonize global standards through collaborative initiatives.

India, though still at an early stage, stands at a pivotal crossroads. With rising patent filings and a growing innovation ecosystem, the demand for efficient and transparent patent administration has never been greater. By responsibly adopting AI, India can reduce pendency, improve the quality of patents, and strengthen its enforcement framework. However, this transformation must be guided by legal clarity, international cooperation, and examiner capacity building.

Ultimately, the future of India's patent system depends on striking the right balance between technological automation and human judgment. If India seizes the opportunities offered by AI while safeguarding accountability, it can not only modernize its domestic IP regime but also position itself as a significant player in the global knowledge economy.[23]

REFERENCE

1) China National Intellectual Property Administration (CNIPA), Annual Report 2022, https://english.cnipa.gov.cn/.

2) Commission Proposal for a Regulation Laying Down Harmonised Rules on Artificial Intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act), COM (2021) 206 final (Apr. 21, 2021).

3) E. Richard Gold & Michael Madison, Artificial Intelligence, Innovation Policy, and Intellectual Property, 12 *J. L. & Innovation* 1 (2020).

4) European Commission, *Coordinated Plan on Artificial Intelligence 2021 Review* (2021), https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/library/coordinated-plan-artificial-intelligence-2021-review.

5) European Patent Office (EPO), Decision of the Legal Board of Appeal in Case J 8/20

---

[23] Tanuj K. Bhatia, Artificial Intelligence in Indian IP Regime: Challenges and Prospects, 25 *J. Nat'l L. Univ. Delhi L. Rev.* 67 (2022).

(Dec. 21, 2021), https://www.epo.org/law-practice/case-law-appeals/recent/j200008eu1.html.

6) European Patent Office, *Patent Translate*—Machine Translation Service, https://www.epo.org/searching-for-patents/helpful-resources/patent-translate.html.

7) Niti Aayog, *National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence* (2018), https://www.niti.gov.in/national-strategy-artificial-intelligence.

8) Pankhuri Agarwal & Arushi Gupta, AI and Patents: Indian Legal Landscape and Global Lessons, 5 *Indian J. L. & Tech.* 112 (2022).

9) Patents Act, No. 39 of 1970, INDIA CODE (1995),

10) https://www.indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/1795.

11) Peter K. Yu, The Rise of Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Patent Law, 67 *U.C.L.A. L. Rev.* 1234 (2020).

12) Reto M. Hilty et al., *Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property: Towards a New International Framework* (Max Planck Institute for Innovation & Competition, Research Paper No. 21-09, 2021).

13) Shamnad Basheer, The 'Eureka Myth': Artificial Intelligence as Inventor and the Patent Conundrum, 12 *J. Intell. Prop. Stud.* 45 (2021).

14) Supreme Court of India, *Novartis AG v. Union of India*, (2013) 6 S.C.C. 1 (India).

15) Tanuj K. Bhatia, Artificial Intelligence in Indian IP Regime: Challenges and Prospects, 25 *J. Nat'l L. Univ. Delhi L. Rev.* 67 (2022).

16) *Thaler v. Hirshfeld*, 558 F. Supp. 3d 238 (E.D. Va. 2021).

17) U.S. Patent & Trademark Office (USPTO), *Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property Policy* (2020), https://www.uspto.gov/initiatives/artificial-intelligence.

18) World Intellectual Property Organization, *WIPO Conversation on Intellectual Property and Artificial Intelligence – First Session Report* (2019), https://www.wipo.int/meetings/en/details.jsp?meeting_id=51767.

19) World Intellectual Property Organization, *WIPO Translate – Machine Learning for IP* (2020), https://www.wipo.int/translate/en/.

20) World Intellectual Property Organization, *World Intellectual Property Indicators 2023* (2023), https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-941-2023-en-world-intellectual-property-indicators.pdf.

21) World Trade Organization (WTO), *Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights* art. 27, Apr. 15, 1994, 1869 U.N.T.S. 299.