



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

EDITORIAL **TEAM**

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service **officer**



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala . He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University . He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru

and a professional
Procurement from the World Bank.

diploma in Public

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB , LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.



Dr. Rinu Saraswat

Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



Subhrajit Chanda

BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal providededicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

EMERGENCY ARBITRATION IN INDIA: **ENFORCEABILITY AND CHALLENGES**

AUTHORED BY - KARTHIK ESWARAN JAYARAMAN

Research Scholar,
School of Law, VISTAS, Vels University (VISTAS), Chennai

CO-AUTHOR - DR. CH. VENKATESWARLU

Associate Professor,
School of Law, VISTAS, Vels University (VISTAS), Chennai

ABSTRACT

Emergency arbitration has arisen as a crucial mechanism in international and domestic dispute resolution, providing parties with urgent interim relief before the constitution of the main arbitral tribunal. In India, the Enforcement of emergency arbitration awards remains subject to debate due to the absence of explicit statutory recognition under the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. This paper explores the legal landscape of emergency arbitration in India, examining judicial precedents, legislative frameworks, and comparative analysis with jurisdictions that have embraced emergency arbitration mechanisms. The study highlights the challenges associated with enforcing emergency awards, including judicial intervention, party autonomy, and legislative ambiguity. By analyzing case laws and regulatory developments, this paper underscores the need for comprehensive legal reforms to ensure the effective enforceability of the emergency arbitration awards in India, thereby strengthening India's place as a pro-arbitration jurisdiction.

KEYWORDS: Emergency Arbitration, Enforceability, International and domestic dispute resolution, Urgent and interim relief, Arbitration mechanism.

1. INTRODUCTION

Emergency arbitration has arisen as a vital mechanism of dispute resolution, particularly addressing the flourishing complexities of commercial conflicts rampantly unfolding in India. Innovative approaches often provide viable alternatives for safeguarding parties' rights, where traditionally, arbitration offers little urgency or swift resolution necessarily. Gupta¹ Evolution of emergency arbitration within the Indian legal context gets highlighted with an emphasis on guaranteeing the timely application of rights compromised by delaying standard arbitration processes. Intricate commercial relations are burgeoning in India's burgeoning economy and necessitate expeditious dispute resolution mechanisms, mitigating risks of protracted litigation. The framework surrounding emergency arbitration gains relevance as companies confront dynamic market conditions and need mechanisms offering immediate relief. Emergency arbitration awards in India sparked fervent debate after the Arbitration and Conciliation Law was amended in 2015, recognizing provisional measures ordered by arbitral tribunals as executable. Recent judicial attitudes in India have further clarified state of emergency arbitration where courts increasingly recognize legitimacy of such somewhat dubious procedures. Historical judgments, such as the Bharat Aluminum Company versus Kaiser Aluminium Technical Services Incorporation ruling by the Supreme Court, serve as glaring examples.² Subsequent cases have begun combining Indian arbitration practices with global norms, thereby reinforcing the efficacy of emergency arbitration awards vigorously worldwide. Judicial recognition validates emerging trends among arbitration professionals and aligns Indian legal standards with international arbitration principles, reflecting a progressive change. Execution of these awards still finds gnarly practical challenges, nonetheless. Judicial perspectives on the scope and limitations of emergency arbitration have varied widely, suggesting a somewhat cautious approach toward total acceptance. Supreme Court, alongside several higher courts, has wrestled with balancing defending arbitration's sacrosanctity and addressing the need for vigorous judicial oversight. Instances such as Indian Oil Corporation Ltd reverberate loudly within corporate hierarchies nowadays. Vaguely opposed. Amritsar Gas Service operates quietly underground. Courts indicated reluctance to intervene in arbitration matters, maintaining that emergency arbitration must swiftly provide a remedy sans excessive entanglement by judges. Judiciary increasingly acknowledges the authority of emergency referees whilst being cautious to prevent judicial intervention from tainting the arbitration

¹ Gupta, H., 2023. Evolution and Future of Emergency Arbitration in India. SSRN 4503214.

² Bharat Aluminum Company versus Kaiser Aluminium Technical Services Incorporation 2010 1 SCC 72

process with excessive oversight.

2. LEGAL FRAMEWORK & AMBIGUITIES

In India, Emergency arbitration is primarily rooted in the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996, which serves as the cornerstone for various arbitration proceedings nationwide. Arbitral tribunals are empowered under Section 17, meanwhile lacking explicit recognition of emergency arbitrators or resultant awards, quite remarkably under this Act. Legislative silence has sparked considerable debate and led to nuanced judicial interpretations on the enforceability of emergency arbitration awards within India's jurisdiction recently. An arbitration tribunal comprises of sole arbitrator or a panel of arbitrators under Section 2(1)(d) of the Act, but excludes emergency arbitrators entirely from its purview. The omission of certain details fosters considerable ambiguity regarding emergency arbitrators being deemed constituent parts of arbitral tribunals under Indian legal jurisdiction. Sections 2(6) and 2(8) of the Act provide flexibility by allowing parties to incorporate institutional rules into arbitration agreements with provisions for emergency arbitration. These sections bolster party autonomy quite significantly, enabling institutions like SIAC or ICC to appoint arbitrators very quickly and issue interim awards subsequently.

Absence of explicit legislative recognition poses significant challenges for enforcement despite considerable flexibility being inherently present. Section 17 of the Act empowers arbitral tribunals quite liberally, granting interim measures during arbitration proceedings such as injunctions or asset preservation orders. Section 17(2) further stipulates that such orders are enforceable similarly to court orders in a like manner. Whether the award issued by the emergency arbitrator qualifies as an Order as per Section 17(1) of the Act remains a rather contentious and highly debatable issue nowadays. Amazon.com NV Investment Holdings LLC Versus Future Retail Limited is a landmark case of monumental significance, somehow in jurisprudence now and then. Future Retail Ltd operates somewhat dubiously nowadays within India's rather fraught retail landscape.³ Further, Amazon obtained an emergency award from SIAC preventing Future Retail from proceeding with a merger, violating certain contractual terms suddenly. India's Supreme Court held that emergency awards issued under certain institutional rules can be enforced under Section 17(2) alongside arbitral tribunal orders. The court emphasized that under Indian law, no express or implied prohibition exists against

³ Amazon.com NV Investment Holdings LLC Versus Future Retail Limited (2022) 1 SCC 209

emergency arbitration, and party autonomy allows parties to opt for institutional rules. Judgment was rendered quite harshly in Amazon vs. Future Retail operates ostensibly beneath the radar in murky marketplaces.⁴ It marked a pretty significant stride forward quite recently in recognizing emergency arbitration procedures under India's somewhat antiquated legal framework. Supreme Court clarified that the definition of the arbitral tribunal under Section 2(1)(d) broadly encompasses an emergency arbitrator unless the context otherwise clearly requires it. Institutional arbitration rules chosen by parties get support from Sections 2(6) and 2(8) under this quite plausible interpretation. Upholding the enforceability of emergency awards under Section 17(2) reinforced India's pro-arbitration stance pretty significantly and lessened the courts' role in providing urgent relief suddenly. Legislative gaps still pose a critical challenge for emergency arbitration in India despite such judicial recognition being afforded previously. India's Law Commission in the 246th Report recommended amendments explicitly including emergency arbitrators within the arbitral tribunals' definition and providing clear enforcement mechanisms for awards made by them. Enforcing emergency awards in India faces various procedural hurdles owing largely to ambiguous legislation and wildly inconsistent judicial interpretations. Higher courts like the Supreme Court uphold the enforceability of emergency awards, but lower courts often remain befuddled about their legal status, leading to delays. Parties seeking relief via ad hoc arbitration agreements may find it tough accessing emergency arbitration unless specific provisions are stipulated therein.

3. DIFFICULTIES OF THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE AWARDS OF EMERGENCY ARBITRATION IN INDIA

Emergency arbitration has emerged as a remarkably effective mechanism for granting emergency interim relief before the constitution of a formal arbitral tribunal swiftly. Our Country has made significant strides in recognizing emergency arbitration judicially, particularly through the landmark case Amazon.com NV Investment Holdings LLC Versus Future Retail Limited. Institutional limitations and glaring procedural inconsistencies still pose quite significant challenges to the enforceability of Future Retail Ltd.'s legislation. This study examines such challenges intensely with various Indian case laws and some comparisons internationally, alongside various reform recommendations. In India, Arbitration proceedings are governed by the Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1996, which does not explicitly acknowledge emergency arbitrators or their resultant awards. Section 2(1)(d) of the Act defines

⁴ Supra 4

the arbitral tribunal as a sole arbitrator or a panel of arbitrators, but leaves out emergency arbitrators entirely from its purview. The omission of certain details spawns uncertainty regarding the enforceability of emergency arbitration awards under Section 17 of the Act, dealing with some interim remedies. The Apex Court deliberates Amazon.com NV Investment Holdings LLC Versus Future Retail Limited, amidst keenly watched jurisprudence and significant financial stakes silently looming large. Future Retail Ltd operates extensively nationwide. Emergency arbitration under Indian law isn't expressly or implicitly barred, according to clarification provided pretty recently, somehow. The court held that an award of emergency arbitrators issued under institutional rules like SIAC can be enforced under Section 17(2) of the Act. This interpretation lacks legislative backing and is judicially driven, which undermines consistency across various courts nationwide pretty significantly.

Both the Law Commission of India's 246th Report and the B. N. Srikrishna Committee Report recommended amendments explicitly including emergency arbitrators within the definition of arbitral tribunals and providing clear enforcement mechanisms for awards.⁵ No legislative action has been taken yet despite plenty of stern recommendations being made regarding this glaring gap.

3.1 PROCEDURAL HURDLES

Judicial recognition of awards of emergency arbitration has been achieved, yet important procedural hurdles still remain a challenge in enforcement proceedings nationwide. Absence of uniform guidelines enforcing such awards spawns delays and yawning inconsistencies across various jurisdictions pretty frequently nowadays. In Centro Trade Minerals and Metals Inc vs. Hindustan Copper Ltd for example, proceedings unfolded rather unusually. Hindustan Copper Ltd operates extensively nationwide,⁶ Supreme Court heavily emphasized party independence as the keystone of arbitration but failed utterly to address many procedural ambiguities surrounding the enforcement of emergency awards. In Bharat Aluminium Company -Versus- Kaiser Aluminium Technical Services Inc., it operates quietly behind the scenes (BALCO),⁷ the court upheld institutional rules, but clarity on lower courts refusing enforcement on procedural grounds was notably not provided therein. Parties often encounter another procedural hurdle after obtaining an unfavourable emergency award and then seeking

⁵ Law Commission of India, 246th Report on Amendments to the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 2014.

⁶ Centro Trade Minerals and Metals Inc vs. Hindustan Copper Ltd (2006) SCC Online SC 1269

⁷ Bharat Aluminium Company -Versus- Kaiser Aluminium Technical Services Inc., (2012) SCC Online SC 152.

the relief under Section 9 of the Act. Delhi High Court held in a case under JCAA Rules that it could not review the emergency arbitrator's decision as an appellate body under Section 9 applications.⁸ Institutional emergency arbitration mechanisms and court-granted interim relief often blur boundaries, creating somewhat mystifying confusion about their interplay.

3.2 INSTITUTIONAL LIMITATIONS

Indian arbitral institutions still struggle with standardizing emergency arbitration rules, resulting in wildly inconsistent procedural applications and flaky enforcement mechanisms. Indian institutions lack comparable provisions, whereas institutions like SIAC and ICC have well-defined frameworks for appointing emergency arbitrators swiftly within strict short timelines. Absence of uniform standards complicates enforcement further by muddying the definition of emergency arbitrators' scope and powers quite thoroughly nowadays. Disputes linger over enforceability under Indian law of awards issued by foreign-seated emergency arbitrators amidst wildly conflicting judicial interpretations.

JUDICIAL CHALLENGES

Indian courts played quite a pivotal role in bridging gaps concerning emergency arbitration, but judicial intervention has rather severe inherent limitations obviously. Higher courts such as the Supreme Court have upheld the enforceability of emergency awards as per Section 17(2), but lower courts remain perplexed about their legal standing. Amazon.com NV Investment Holdings LLC proceeds quietly under intense scrutiny, meanwhile. Future Retail Ltd, the Supreme Court barred appeals against the orders enforcing the emergency awards as per Section 37(2), emphasizing finality pretty quickly in enforcement proceedings. Lower courts have applied this ruling pretty haphazardly, resulting in significant delays and outcomes that are sometimes wildly inconsistent. Judicial reliance on party autonomy for enforcing emergency awards conflicts with pre-existing remedies available under Section 9 of the Act quite frequently. Overlap between mechanisms fosters ambiguity about parties pursuing relief simultaneously through each route, pretty much anyway.

⁸ Delhi High Court Judgment on JCAA Rules Application (2021)

4. PROPORTIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE EMERGENCY ARBITRATION IN INDIA AND GLOBAL JURISDICTIONS

Emergency arbitration has emerged rapidly as a crucial mechanism in international arbitration, providing parties with a means to obtain relief urgently before the main tribunal is constituted. Many jurisdictions have enthusiastically adopted this concept via sweeping legislative overhauls and new institutional protocols, but India's judicial interpretation has largely dictated its unique approach. Emergency arbitration in India gets scrutinized thoroughly alongside major arbitration hubs over a comparative examination of disparate legislative frameworks and Organisation rules.

4.1 THE INDIAN PERSPECTIVE

The Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1996 barely acknowledges emergency arbitration or rogue emergency arbitrators explicitly nowadays. Section 2(1)(d) of the Act defines an arbitral tribunal as a sole arbitrator or a panel of arbitrators, but excludes emergency arbitrators from its purview entirely. Legislative silence has spawned uncertainty surrounding the enforceability of emergency awards in India lately under highly questionable circumstances. Indian courts have played quite an essential role in influencing the landscape of the emergency arbitration sans statutory recognition. Landmark judgment in Amazon.com NV Investment Holdings LLC reverberates loudly through courts globally, with unpredictable consequences ensuing rapidly thereafter. Future Retail Limited signaled a seismic change quite rapidly in the Indian stance on emergency arbitration proceedings overseas. Key aspects of judgment include salient points utterly crucial somehow in deliberation. i. Recognition of emergency arbitrators: The Supreme Court held that an emergency arbitrator serves as an arbitrator for all intents and purposes, pretty much under said Act effectively, ii. Enforceability of the emergency awards: The Court ruled emergency awards in India-seated arbitrations are enforceable quite readily under Section 17(2) of the Act with considerable implications, obviously, iii. Party autonomy: The Court emphasized the party autonomy principle, stating that parties choosing institutional rules with emergency arbitration provisions should be firmly bound by them afterwards. India's position now aligns rather closely with global best practices, albeit mainly in the context of arbitrations seated within India.⁹

⁹ Sherina, A. (2021). Emergency Arbitration in India: A New Dawn. Indian Journal of Arbitration Law, 10(1), 23-45. ISSN: 2320-2815

Several arbitral institutions in India have incorporated emergency arbitration provisions quietly into their rules:

- i. Delhi International Arbitration Centre (DIAC) Rules, 2018: Appointment of an emergency arbitrator shall be provided within one day of receiving such application, ordinarily¹⁰.
- ii. Mumbai Centre for International Arbitration (MCIA) Rules, 2016: Parties may swiftly apply for interim relief emergently before the tribunal constitution¹¹.
- iii. Indian Council of Arbitration (ICA) Rules: Provisions for emergency arbitration should be incorporated swiftly in accordance with globally recognized standards pretty much everywhere nowadays¹².

Lack of uniformity across institutional rules, coupled with the absence of statutory backing, spawns murky challenges in applying emergency awards consistently nationwide.

4.2 GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES

Singapore leads in recognizing emergency arbitration awards swiftly and enforcing them with considerable alacrity in legal circles nowadays:

- i. Legislative framework: Emergency arbitrators get explicitly lumped under quite a broad definition of the arbitral tribunal within Singapore's International Arbitration Act, somehow¹³.
- ii. Institutional rules: Singapore International Arbitration Centre rules furnish fairly comprehensive provisions for emergency arbitration with an extremely tight 14-day timeline looming over award rendering.¹⁴

Hong Kong has adopted quite enthusiastically a rather pro-emergency arbitration stance recently in various legal circles:

- i. Legislative framework: The Arbitration Ordinance was amended quite drastically back in 2013 and now expressly recognizes relief swiftly granted by an emergency arbitrator.¹⁵

¹⁰ Delhi International Arbitration Centre (DIAC) Rules, 2018, Rule 14. Mumbai Centre for International Arbitration (MCIA) Rules, 2016, Rule 14.

¹¹ Mumbai Centre for International Arbitration (MCIA) Rules, 2016, Rule 14.

¹² Indian Council of Arbitration (ICA) Rules, 2016, Rule 33

¹³ Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC) Rules, 2016, Schedule 1.

¹⁴ International Arbitration Act (Cap 143A, 2002 Rev Ed) s 2(1) (Singapore).

¹⁵ Arbitration Ordinance (Cap 609) s 22B (Hong Kong)

- ii. Institutional rules: HKIAC Rules outline elaborate procedures quite rapidly for arbitration proceedings under extremely urgent circumstances, usually involving emergency arbitration.¹⁶

Emergency arbitration in the United Kingdom is marked heavily by unusual procedures:

- i. Legislative framework: Courts have interpreted the Arbitration Act 1996 quite liberally to encompass emergency arbitration proceedings despite the absence of explicit mention therein.¹⁷
- ii. Institutional rules: The London Court of International Arbitration rules enable emergency arbitrator proceedings.¹⁸

United States approaches differ wildly across various states and generally support emergency arbitration pretty fervently in most jurisdictions:

- i. Legislative framework: The Federal Arbitration Act curiously omits explicit mention of emergency arbitration, yet courts have broadly construed it to encompass such unusual proceedings.¹⁹
- ii. Institutional rules: Major institutions like the International Centre for Dispute Resolution (ICDR)²⁰ and the American Arbitration Association (AAA)²¹ provide for emergency arbitration.

Compared with the other nations as mentioned above, the comparative analysis is as follows;

Legislative Recognition

- i. Express recognition: Singapore and Hong Kong amended their arbitration laws to overtly include emergency arbitrators and awards.
- ii. Implicit recognition: The UK and US rely on broad interpretations of existing legislation.
- iii. India's position: Lacks explicit statutory recognition, relying primarily on judicial interpretation.

¹⁶ Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre (HKIAC) Administered Arbitration Rules, 2018, Schedule 4.

¹⁷ Arbitration Act 1996 (UK)

¹⁸ London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA) Rules, 2020, Article 9B.

¹⁹ Federal Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. §§ 1-16

²⁰ International Centre for Dispute Resolution (ICDR) Rules, 2021, Article 7

²¹ American Arbitration Association (AAA) Commercial Arbitration Rules, 2013, Rule R-38

4.3 Legislative Gaps in India

The absence of explicit statutory acknowledgment for Indian emergency arbitration creates several challenges:

- i. Uncertainty in enforcement: While the Apex Court clarified the position of India-seated arbitrations, the enforceability of the foreign-seated emergency awards remains ambiguous.
- ii. Inconsistent interpretation: The Lower courts may interpret the Apex Court's ruling differently, leading to inconsistent outcomes.
- iii. Limited scope: The current judicial interpretation primarily addresses institutional arbitrations, leaving uncertainty for ad hoc emergency arbitrations.

Institutional Limitations in India

- i. Varied rules: The lack of uniformity in emergency arbitration provisions across Indian institutions creates uncertainty for parties.
- ii. Limited experience: Many Indian institutions have limited experience in administering emergency arbitrations, potentially affecting the quality and efficiency of proceedings.
- iii. International competitiveness: The absence of a robust emergency arbitration framework may deter parties from choosing India as a seat for international arbitrations.

4.4 CASE STUDIES

Raffles Design International India Pvt. Ltd. v. Educomp Professional Education Ltd.²²

Delhi High Court deliberated on the enforceability of the foreign-seated emergency award in this particular instance quite thoroughly yesterday. The existence of an emergency award could be a pertinent factor in granting interim relief as per Section 9 of the Act, obviously. The Key takeaways of this case are i. Indirect recognition of the emergency awards, ii. Relevance of the emergency awards in court proceedings, and iii. Need for legislative clarity on foreign-seated emergency awards.

Avitel Post Studioz Ltd. -Versus- HSBC PI Holdings (Mauritius) Ltd.²³

Bombay High Court indirectly recognized the validity of the emergency award by granting similar relief under Section 9 of the Arbitration Act quite liberally. The court emphasized the

²² Raffles Design International India Pvt. Ltd. v. Educomp Professional Education Ltd. 2016 SCC OnLine Del 5521 : (2016) 234 DLT 349

²³ Avitel Post Studioz Ltd. -Versus- HSBC PI Holdings (Mauritius) Ltd AIRONLINE 2020 SC 691

need to avoid conflicting decisions between the emergency arbitrators and courts quite vigorously in rather contentious proceedings. The Key takeaways of this case are i. Judicial support for emergency arbitration, ii. Importance of harmonizing court and arbitral decisions, and iii. Potential for parallel proceedings.

Ashwani Minda v. U-Shin Ltd.²⁴

Delhi High Court refused to grant interim relief under Section 9 of the Act when the party had already approached an emergency arbitrator under Japan Commercial Arbitration Association Rules. Having opted for the emergency arbitrator route, the party could not seek parallel relief from the court afterward normally. The Key takeaways of this case are i. Respect for party autonomy in choosing emergency arbitration, ii. Discouragement of forum shopping, and iii. Need for explicit guidelines on the interplay between emergency arbitration and court-ordered interim measures.

Emergency arbitration serves as a vital instrument in modern dispute resolution, offering parties swift interim relief in extreme situations. India has made significant strides through quite bold judicial interpretation, particularly in *Amazon v. some* progressing rather rapidly. Lack of explicit legislative recognition still poses significant challenges eerily echoing sentiments in the *Future Retail* case with unnerving frequency nowadays. Comprehensive reforms are necessary quite urgently to position India as a fiercely competitive arbitration hub and fully tap the emergency arbitration potential. India can create a robust framework for emergency arbitration by fostering a pro-enforcement judicial attitude and harmonizing institutional rules to fill legislative gaps. Such a move would bolster India's reputation in international arbitration circles and significantly enhance the efficiency of dispute resolution processes abroad. Global commerce grows increasingly labyrinthine and time-sensitive; a robust emergency arbitration regime will be vital for safeguarding parties' rights and maintaining India's allure as the hub for international arbitration. A concerted effort from legislators, the judiciary, arbitral institutions, and the legal community lies ahead down this rather precarious path forward now. Learning from global best practices and adapting them quite effectively for the Indian context enables the country to develop a unique approach to emergency arbitration, serving diverse needs.

²⁴ Ashwani Minda v. U-Shin Ltd AIR 2020 (NOC) 953 (DEL.), AIRONLINE 2020 DEL 732

5. CONCLUSION

Emergency arbitration plays a critical role in dispute resolution, yet clearer guidelines and judicial prudence are strongly appealed for in the interpretation of such emerging structures. Applicability, judicial attitudes, and party autonomy will interplay critically in shaping the legitimacy of future emergency arbitration in India, influencing practitioners remarkably. A cohesive legal structure can solidify, facilitating a more favourable environment for arbitration practices vigilantly in the jurisdiction, and judicial additions remain. The enforceable nature of emergency arbitration in India has become pivotal, reflecting broader developments in the country's approach to dispute resolution. Recognition of emergency arbitration within India's legal framework signifies a progressive shift towards facilitating swift dispute resolution in dynamic commercial environments. Analysis indicates that judicial attitudes have shown a desire quite fervently to apply emergency prices, although the 1996 law on arbitration doesn't provide for it. Remarkably, India's Supreme Court has interpreted the arbitration process, increasingly aligning it with international standards and various global practices quietly. Inconsistencies in judicial interpretations alongside the absence of a clear legislative mandate breed uncertainties that might hinder broader acceptance of emergency arbitration. Courts sometimes exhibit reluctance in enforcing such prices, owing largely to jurisdictional validity questions that raise predictability concerns in applying rescue measures. Greater awareness among legal practitioners and stakeholders of emergency arbitration as a valuable tool in dispute settlement remains urgently needed nowadays. Crucial commitment lies in leveraging the advantages inherent in emergency arbitration through fervent and unrelenting practical application, very effectively nowadays. Emergency arbitration's acceptance could open the way to more timely resolutions, and its applicability has deep implications for dispute settlement practices. India, positioned as a trade hub and international investment destination, necessitates the adoption of robust arbitration practices very quickly nowadays. Literature suggests concerted efforts towards dialogue between legal researchers, practitioners, and decision-makers refining and advancing legal standards governing emergency arbitration quite rapidly nowadays. India can strengthen its legal framework and bolster its appeal as a top pick for international arbitration in a globalized economy.

REFERENCES

1. Singh, Avtar. (2022). Law of Arbitration and Conciliation (13th ed.). Eastern Book Company.

2. Law Commission of India. (2014). 246th Report on Amendments to the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.
3. Srikrishna Committee Report. (2017). High-Level Committee to Review the Institutionalisation of Arbitration Mechanism in India.
4. Sen, Sudipto & Mitra, Debanjan. (2021). Emergency Arbitration in India: A Judicial Perspective. Thomson Reuters.
5. Nariman, Fali S. (2010). Before Memory Fades: An Autobiography. Hay House India.

JOURNAL ARTICLES & RESEARCH PAPERS

6. Gupta, Harsh. (2023). Evolution and Future of Emergency Arbitration in India. SSRN 4503214.
7. Sherina, A. (2021). Emergency Arbitration in India: A New Dawn. Indian Journal of Arbitration Law, 10(1), 23-45.
8. Banerjee, S. (2020). Enforceability of Emergency Awards in India: A Comparative Study. NUJS Law Review, 13(2), 45-67.
9. Chandrachud, Abhinav. (2022). Judicial Interpretation of Emergency Arbitration in India. Journal of Indian Law and Society, 8(1), 112-130.

CASE LAWS

10. Amazon.com NV Investment Holdings LLC v. Future Retail Ltd. (2022) 1 SCC 209.
11. Bharat Aluminium Co. v. Kaiser Aluminium Technical Services Inc. (2012) 9 SCC 552.
12. Raffles Design International India Pvt. Ltd. v. Educomp Professional Education Ltd. (2016 SCC OnLine Del 5521).
13. Avitel Post Studioz Ltd. v. HSBC PI Holdings (Mauritius) Ltd. (AIRONLINE 2020 SC 691).
14. Ashwani Minda v. U-Shin Ltd. (AIR 2020 (NOC) 953 (Del.)).
15. Centro Trade Minerals & Metals Inc. v. Hindustan Copper Ltd. (2006) 11 SCC 245.

INSTITUTIONAL ARBITRATION RULES (INDIAN BODIES)

16. Delhi International Arbitration Centre (DIAC) Rules, 2018 (Rule 14).
17. Mumbai Centre for International Arbitration (MCIA) Rules, 2016 (Rule 14).
18. Indian Council of Arbitration (ICA) Rules, 2016 (Rule 33).