



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL
ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

EDITORIAL TEAM

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service officer



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti-Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala. He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University. He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru and

a professional diploma in Public Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB, LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & PHD from university of Kota. He has successfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the Ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; PH.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St. Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing PH.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Inter-country adoption laws from Uttarakhand University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.

Dr. Rinu Saraswat



Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, PH.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, PH.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University. More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on CR.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



Subhrajit Chanda



BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); PH.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal provide dedicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN THE DIGITAL WORLD

AUTHORED BY - ISHANK BHATI

Abstract

Access to justice is central to the rule of law and democratic governance. It ensures that every individual, irrespective of economic or social background, has the right to seek remedies through fair and effective legal mechanisms. Yet, traditional justice systems have long been criticized for their high costs, procedural complexity, and lack of inclusivity. The digital era has transformed these dynamics by introducing e-courts, online dispute resolution platforms, remote hearings, and digital legal aid systems. This paper examines the evolution of access to justice in the digital age, focusing on opportunities, challenges, and future pathways. Drawing upon global practices and India's judicial reforms, it evaluates how digital transformation enhances efficiency, reduces costs, and expands inclusivity while also raising concerns about the digital divide, data privacy, and algorithmic bias. The study concludes that technology can democratize access to justice, but it must be carefully designed to remain transparent, human-centric, and inclusive.

Keywords: Access to justice, digital justice, e-courts, online dispute resolution, legal aid, digital divide.

Introduction

Access to justice is not merely a legal aspiration but a fundamental right embedded in democratic governance. The Indian Constitution under Article 14 guarantees equality before law, while Article 39A emphasizes the provision of free legal aid to ensure justice is not denied due to economic or social disadvantages. Internationally, frameworks such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (Article 8) and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights highlight the same principle.

Despite these commitments, billions worldwide face barriers to justice. Legal systems are often inaccessible due to procedural delays, excessive costs, and lack of awareness. For marginalized populations—rural communities, women, the poor, and persons with disabilities—these barriers are particularly acute.

The twenty-first century, however, has ushered in a digital revolution in justice delivery. Courts and governments are increasingly embracing online filing systems, electronic hearings, and virtual legal aid. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated these reforms, as justice systems were compelled to adopt digital mechanisms to ensure continuity. In India, the e-Courts Project, the National Judicial Data Grid (NJDG), and artificial intelligence initiatives such as SUPACE (Supreme Court Portal for Assistance in Court Efficiency) are significant steps toward a digital justice ecosystem.

Yet, technology is not a silver bullet. While it promises inclusivity, efficiency, and transparency, it also raises concerns about exclusion, data privacy, and fairness. The digital divide—gaps in access to internet, devices, and digital literacy—remains a major obstacle. Algorithmic decision-making risks embedding biases into justice delivery. Thus, the transformation of justice must balance innovation with inclusivity.

Literature Review

The concept of access to justice has been studied for decades. Cappelletti and Garth's Access to Justice Movement (1978) outlined three waves of reforms: legal aid programs, collective rights litigation, and structural reforms. Recent scholarship introduces a "fourth wave," defined by technological interventions.

The World Justice Project's Rule of Law Index (2023) reports that over five billion people worldwide lack meaningful access to justice. This gap disproportionately affects the poor, women, and marginalized communities.

Digital tools have emerged to address these barriers. Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) was first pioneered by e-commerce giants such as eBay and PayPal, where millions of disputes were resolved annually without traditional courts. Katsh and Rabinovich-Einy (2017) argue that ODR reduces costs, enhances efficiency, and empowers individuals to resolve disputes in a less adversarial environment.

India's e-Courts Project (2005 onwards) has been a major reform, digitizing district and subordinate courts. Phase II introduced electronic case management, while Phase III (2021) envisions fully paperless courts. Studies by the Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy highlight how

these reforms reduce pendency but also emphasize disparities in access due to low digital literacy.

Globally, jurisdictions such as the United Kingdom and Singapore have advanced digital courts. The UK's HM Courts & Tribunals Service has implemented online claim portals for small disputes. Singapore's Community Justice and Tribunals System offers a comprehensive ODR platform. These models demonstrate that well-designed digital systems can enhance access and reduce burdens on courts.

Nonetheless, critical voices highlight risks. Richard Susskind (2019) warns against excessive reliance on automation, arguing that procedural fairness may be compromised. Concerns over data protection and algorithmic bias are echoed by OECD and UNDP reports, which stress the importance of human oversight in digital justice.

Opportunities in Digital Justice

1. E-Courts and Remote Hearings: Digital courts enable filing of cases, document submission, and virtual hearings. In India, video conferencing during the pandemic allowed the Supreme Court and High Courts to hear urgent cases without physical presence.
2. Online Dispute Resolution (ODR): ODR platforms resolve disputes online through negotiation, mediation, and arbitration. They are particularly effective for small-value disputes where traditional litigation is disproportionate in cost and time.
3. Digital Legal Aid: Technology has enabled online platforms that connect individuals to pro bono lawyers, provide document automation, and deliver legal literacy resources.
4. Data and Transparency: Digital dashboards such as the National Judicial Data Grid (NJDG) provide real-time information on case pendency, improving accountability and enabling research-driven policymaking.
5. Inclusivity through Language Tools: AI-driven translation systems, like those piloted in Indian courts, allow proceedings to be accessible across diverse linguistic populations.

Challenges and Risks

1. **The Digital Divide:** A significant population lacks access to reliable internet, smartphones, or basic digital literacy.
2. **Data Privacy and Security:** Digitization requires large volumes of sensitive personal data. Breaches or misuse can severely compromise rights.
3. **Algorithmic Bias and Fairness:** AI tools may replicate biases embedded in datasets, leading to discriminatory outcomes.
4. **Procedural Fairness:** Remote hearings sometimes hinder effective cross-examination and reduce the solemnity of proceedings.
5. **Over-Reliance on Technology:** While technology is a facilitator, it cannot replace human judgment.

Global Experiences

- **United Kingdom:** Introduced online portals for small claims and divorce proceedings.
- **Singapore:** Developed an advanced ODR platform integrated with its judiciary.
- **United States:** State courts experimented with AI tools for bail and sentencing decisions, but faced criticism for bias.
- **India:** The Supreme Court's embrace of video conferencing and e-filing during the pandemic demonstrated adaptability.

Policy Recommendations

1. **Bridging the Digital Divide:** Invest in rural digital infrastructure.
2. **Digital Literacy Programs:** Training for litigants, lawyers, and judicial staff.
3. **Data Protection and Cybersecurity:** Robust frameworks must secure sensitive legal data.
4. **Inclusive Design:** Platforms should be multilingual, disability-friendly, and accessible via low-bandwidth.
5. **Human Oversight:** AI tools must operate under judicial supervision.
6. **Public-Private Collaboration:** Partnerships with tech companies should preserve accountability.
7. **Hybrid Systems:** A combination of physical and digital justice ensures inclusivity.

Conclusion

Access to justice in the digital world presents both opportunities and challenges of profound importance. The integration of digital technologies has already shown its ability to reduce costs, enhance transparency, and expand the reach of judicial institutions. Remote hearings, e-filing, online dispute resolution, and legal aid platforms have created new pathways for citizens to engage with the justice system in ways that were once inaccessible. These innovations represent not just improvements in efficiency, but also important steps toward realizing constitutional and international commitments to equal access to justice.

However, the transformation is incomplete and fraught with risks. The digital divide continues to prevent marginalized communities—such as rural populations, low-income households, and the digitally illiterate—from fully benefiting from these reforms. Issues of privacy, data security, and algorithmic bias further complicate the picture, raising questions about fairness and due process in digital environments. Without strong regulatory safeguards and deliberate inclusionary policies, digital justice risks reproducing the same inequalities that traditional systems struggled with, or worse, creating new forms of exclusion.

Therefore, the way forward lies in adopting a balanced, hybrid model that combines the strengths of both physical and digital justice delivery. Investments in digital infrastructure, legal literacy, and user-friendly design must go hand in hand with strict safeguards for privacy and accountability. International collaboration, along with national policy innovation, is also essential to create frameworks that are adaptable yet grounded in universal principles of fairness and human dignity.

In conclusion, digital transformation in justice is not an end in itself but a means to uphold the timeless values of equality, fairness, and accessibility. The real measure of success will not be how advanced the technology becomes, but how effectively it serves the most vulnerable and ensures that no individual is denied justice in the digital age.

References

1. Cappelletti, M., & Garth, B. (1978). *Access to Justice: The Worldwide Movement to Make Rights Effective*. Milan: Giuffrè.
2. Katsh, E., & Rabinovich-Einy, O. (2017). *Digital Justice: Technology and the Internet*

of Disputes. Oxford University Press.

3. Susskind, R. (2019). *Online Courts and the Future of Justice*. Oxford University Press.
4. Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy. (2021). *Evaluating Phase II of the e-Courts Project in India*. New Delhi.
5. World Justice Project. (2023). *Rule of Law Index*. Washington, D.C.
6. UNDP. (2020). *Inclusive Digital Justice Systems*. UNDP Report.
7. OECD. (2021). *Access to Justice and Technology*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
8. Supreme Court of India. (2020). *E-Courts Mission Mode Project*. New Delhi.

