



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL
ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

EDITORIAL TEAM

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service officer



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti-Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala. He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University. He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru and

a professional diploma in Public Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB, LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & PHD from university of Kota. He has successfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the Ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; PH.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St. Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing PH.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Inter-country adoption laws from Uttarakhand University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.

Dr. Rinu Saraswat



Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, PH.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, PH.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University. More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on CR.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



Subhrajit Chanda



BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); PH.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal provide dedicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

THE ROLE OF LAW IN EFFECTIVE CROWD CONTROL AND DISASTER PREVENTION IN INDIA

AUTHORED BY - SHRI SUJIT ARJUN MUNDHE,
PH.D. Research Scholer Faculty: Humanities
Subject: Law Shivaji University, Kolhapur (Maharashtra)

CO-AUTHOR - DR. RAJASHREE JAGANNATH JAWALE,
Associate Professor, Ismail Saheb Mulla Law College, Satara (Maharashtra)

Abstract:

The India has a tradition of various fairs and festivals which attract a large number of people at public places. Besides this, various congregations for entertainment, sports and political reasons, etc., also happen quite frequently. Such crowd and gatherings are potential threat for disaster unless they are well regulated, managed systematically. In the past, various incidents of stampede have occurred owing to poor crowd management. It is the responsibility of law and order machinery of the state to ensure proper crowd control and related safety and security issues.

The recurring stampedes at places of mass gathering, including religious places, railway stations, sports/social/political events etc. are a great concern. The population explosion, urbanization and a large number of people visiting religious places, malls, etc. has increased the probability of such events. Keeping this in view, there is certainly a need for an integrated and structured approach to crowd and disaster management at public places and here the role of law is play an important role in effective crowd control and disaster prevention.

Keywords: Congregation, Crowd, Stampede, Population explosion, Urbanization, Disaster Prevention

1. INTRODUCTION:

Crowd Management and disaster prevention have emerged as pressing challenges in contemporary governance, particularly in countries like India, where mass gathering for religious, political and cultural purposes are frequent. The law plays a dual role i.e. It empowers the state to regulate assemblies to prevent hazards which simultaneously safeguarding fundamental rights such as freedom of speech and peaceful assembly. This article explores the role of law in effective crowd control and disaster prevention.

As crowd disasters are local events, disaster management is primarily the responsibility of the organizers and local/district administration with support, guidelines from the state and the national authorities. As stampede is the major incident which can occur at places of large congregations/ gatherings, the module talks about mainly stampede as a disaster in addition to crowd management challenges and techniques.

2. OBJECTIVES -

1. To examine the legal framework governing crowd control and disaster management in India.
2. To analyse the role of law enforcement agencies and authorities in preventing stampedes and related disasters.
3. To suggest legal and policy reforms for ensuring safer crowd management and disaster prevention.

3. WHAT IS STAMEDE –

A stampede is an act of mass impulse among a crowd of people in which they collectively begin running with no clear direction or purpose. In general, the term human stampede is applied to a sudden rush of a congregated, active, polarized group of people, which is basically heterogeneous and complex, resulting in many injuries and death mainly due to suffocation and trampling. The worst stampede in recorded history took place in Chongqing, China, during World War II. Japanese Bombing of the city on June 6, 1941, triggered mass panic at an air raid shelter, killing approximately 4,000 people, most of them by suffocation. In India, as well, many incidents of stampede have occurred in the past.

4. SOME OF RECENT CROWD DISASTERS IN INDIA -

India has witnessed several tragic incidents of crowd-related disasters, stampedes, and fire accidents over the past decades, claiming thousands of lives and injuring many more. In recent years, significant tragedies include the 2018 incident in Chennai, Tamil Nadu, where two people were killed and 41 injured during the funeral of former Chief Minister M. Karunanidhi, and the same year in Bihar Sharif where 58 people were injured after panic spread at a train station following an apparent earthquake. Earlier in 2017, three women died and 10 others were injured during Kartik Purnima celebrations at Simaria Ghat in Begusarai, Bihar, while Mumbai witnessed one of the deadliest urban stampedes on 29 September 2017, where 22 people lost their lives and hundreds were injured on the Elphinstone Road footbridge. In West Bengal, six pilgrims died at Gangasagar in January 2017 while trying to board vessels after a festival. Religious gatherings have often been hotspots of such tragedies, such as the 2016 Varanasi stampede near Rajghat Bridge that killed 24 people, the 2015 Rajahmundry tragedy in Andhra Pradesh where 27 pilgrims died during Maha Pushkaralu, and the Baidyanath Temple incident in Jharkhand the same year that killed 11 devotees. Bihar has repeatedly been affected, with 32 deaths in Patna's Gandhi Maidan during Dussehra celebrations in 2014 and a 2018 army recruitment drive in Rohtas that turned fatal for one. Similarly, Maharashtra has seen major incidents including the 2014 Malabar Hill crush claiming 18 lives during the funeral of Dawoodi Bohra spiritual leader Syedna Mohammed Burhanuddin, the 2005 Kalubai Yatra tragedy at Wai, Satara, that killed 293 pilgrims, and the 2003 Nashik Kumbh Mela where 29 people died.

Older but equally catastrophic events include the 2013 Ratangarh temple stampede in Madhya Pradesh that claimed 121 lives, the Allahabad railway station chaos during the Maha Kumbh Mela the same year that left 36 dead, and the 2011 Sabarimala stampede in Kerala where 102 pilgrims lost their lives. Other notable disasters were the 2010 Ramjanaki Temple tragedy in Pratapgarh, Uttar Pradesh, killing 65 devotees (mostly women and children), the 2008 Chamunda Devi Temple stampede in Jodhpur that left 249 dead, and the Naina Devi temple stampede in Himachal Pradesh killing 138. Fire-related disasters also mark India's history of mass casualty events, such as the 1997 Uphaar Cinema tragedy in Delhi where 59 people died in a smoky hall, the 1997 Baripada fire in Odisha killing 206 at a religious congregation, and the 1995 Dabwali fire in Haryana that remains one of the deadliest with 446 deaths during a school function in a shamiana tent.

These recurring incidents highlight the urgent need for better crowd management, safety infrastructure, and strict enforcement of disaster-prevention measures during public gatherings, religious festivals, and mass events in India.

Analysis of the above information shows that majority of the stampedes have taken place at religious places, wherein large number of people from different walks of life, congregate. Railway stations and school functions are other areas, according to the above table, which need attention for preventing crowd related disasters. School accidents take place in the absence of proper evacuation plan with respect to fire hazard.

Such accidents take place due to lack of following points -

- i. understanding of crowd behaviour,
- ii. coordination,
- iii. clear roles & responsibilities of various stakeholders and
- iv. Proper planning on the part of organizers.

5. (A) INTERNATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORKS -

At the international level, the role of law in crowd control and disaster prevention has gained significant attention due to recurring tragedies at mass gatherings, religious events, political rallies, concerts, and sporting arenas. Countries across the globe recognize that poorly managed crowds can lead to stampedes, fires, structural collapses, and other disasters, causing large-scale loss of life. International legal instruments, national legislations, and guidelines by global organizations have collectively shaped strategies to ensure public safety.

The United Nations (UN) and its agencies, particularly the United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNDRR), emphasize the integration of crowd management within broader disaster risk reduction frameworks. The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction (2015–2030) calls upon member states to strengthen governance, build legal preparedness, and adopt people-centered preventive measures to reduce risks during large gatherings.

In Europe, the Council of Europe's Convention on Spectator Violence (1985) provides a legal framework for ensuring safety at sports events. Similarly, countries like the United Kingdom have developed specific laws such as the Safety of Sports Grounds Act, 1975, and strict

licensing mechanisms for event organizers to ensure safe crowd management. In the United States, the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) guidelines and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations mandate crowd safety measures, evacuation planning, and accountability of organizers.

At the international humanitarian law level, disaster management is often linked with the protection of human rights. Instruments like the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) recognize the state's responsibility to safeguard life and security during public assemblies. The World Health Organization (WHO) also issues guidelines on health risks associated with mass gatherings, especially in the context of pandemics such as COVID-19.

Several global case studies highlight the importance of legal intervention. The Hajj pilgrimage in Saudi Arabia, which historically witnessed fatal stampedes, is now managed with a comprehensive legal framework involving crowd flow regulations, surveillance, and emergency response mechanisms. Similarly, Germany's Love Parade disaster in 2010, which claimed 21 lives, led to stricter legal scrutiny of event permits and safety standards.

In conclusion, international practice demonstrates that law is central to effective crowd control and disaster prevention. Strong legal frameworks not only define the responsibilities of organizers, law enforcement, and governments but also ensure accountability in case of negligence. Learning from global experiences, it is clear that preventive legislation, coordinated enforcement, and judicial oversight remain indispensable tools in safeguarding human lives during mass gatherings worldwide.

5. (B) NATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORKS -

In India, where religious gatherings, political rallies, and festivals often attract millions of people, the role of law in crowd control and disaster prevention is both crucial and challenging. Past tragedies such as the Kumbh Mela stampedes, temple crush incidents, and fire outbreaks at crowded venues have highlighted the urgent need for robust legal and institutional mechanisms to safeguard lives.

i. CONSTITUTIONAL APPROACH

The Constitution of India provides the foundation for ensuring safety during public

gatherings through a combination of rights, directives, and duties. The Right to Life under Article 21 guarantees every citizen the right to life and personal liberty, thereby obligating the State to protect individuals from dangers such as stampedes, fires, and other crowd-related disasters. Similarly, the Right to Assemble under Article 19(1)(b) grants citizens the freedom to gather peaceably and without arms; however, this right is subject to reasonable restrictions under Article 19(3) in the interest of public order, security, and safety. The Directive Principles of State Policy, particularly Articles 38, 47, and 48A, further guide the State to promote social welfare, safeguard public health, and ensure environmental safety—objectives closely tied to disaster prevention during large-scale events. Complementing these obligations are the Fundamental Duties under Article 51A, which call upon citizens to uphold harmony, respect the law, and act responsibly, reinforcing the shared responsibility between the State and individuals in maintaining order and safety during assemblies.

ii. **LEGISLATIVE APPROACH**

The legislative framework in India plays a crucial role in ensuring effective crowd control and disaster prevention. The Disaster Management Act, 2005 provides a comprehensive structure for disaster risk reduction, preparedness, and response, recognizing mass gatherings as potential disaster-prone situations that demand advance planning. The Indian Penal Code, 1860 (IPC) supplements this by addressing issues of unlawful assembly and rioting under Sections 141–149, while Sections 336–338 impose liability for negligent acts that endanger human life. Similarly, the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (CrPC) empowers magistrates and police authorities to regulate assemblies, impose restrictions such as those under Section 144, and adopt preventive measures to maintain public order. In addition, State Police Acts and local laws provide operational authority for regulating crowd behavior, granting permissions for events, and taking emergency interventions. Safety regulations are also embedded in fire safety and building laws, including provisions under municipal legislation, the Factories Act, and the Cinematograph Act, which mandate essential safeguards such as structural safety, emergency exits, and fire control measures for public venues. Complementing these statutory provisions are the NDMA Guidelines (2014) on Mass Gatherings, which lay down technical standards for crowd flow management, surveillance systems, medical preparedness, and inter-agency coordination, thereby reinforcing a holistic legal framework for safe and orderly assemblies.

iii. **JUDICIAL APPROACH –**

The judiciary in India has played a pivotal role in shaping the balance between the constitutional right to assemble and the State's duty to ensure public safety. Through various judgments, the courts have clarified that while peaceful assembly is a democratic right, it must be exercised within the limits of public order and safety. Judicial interventions have not only upheld fundamental rights but also emphasized accountability of authorities in preventing avoidable crowd-related disasters.

- *Himmat Lal Shah v. Commissioner of Police (1973)*: The Supreme Court held that citizens have the right to hold public meetings, but the State can regulate the place and manner to maintain law and order.
- *Ramlila Maidan Incident (2012)*: The Court stressed that while assemblies are a democratic right, public safety and security are paramount, and authorities must regulate gatherings responsibly without excessive use of force.
- *PUCL v. Union of India (1997)*: Recognized that restrictions on assembly must be reasonable and proportionate to the threat posed.
- Case law on stampedes and fire accidents (e.g., Kumbh Mela stampede cases): Courts have directed governments to ensure safety infrastructure, emergency services, and accountability of event organizers.
- Judicial oversight often reinforces that failure of authorities to prevent avoidable crowd disasters amounts to violation of Article 21 (Right to Life).

6. **CHALLENGES IN LEGAL ENFORCEMENT –**

Despite the existence of multiple laws, constitutional provisions, and regulatory frameworks to manage crowds and prevent disasters, India faces persistent challenges in the effective enforcement of these measures. One of the foremost issues is fragmented jurisdiction. Crowd management often involves coordination between local police, municipal bodies, disaster management authorities, and event organizers, but overlapping responsibilities and lack of accountability lead to gaps in implementation.

Another critical challenge is inadequate infrastructure and planning. Many public spaces, religious venues, and transport hubs lack proper entry–exit routes, emergency evacuation facilities, and crowd- monitoring systems, despite legal mandates under the Disaster Management Act, 2005, and municipal regulations. Enforcement is further weakened by

resource constraints such as shortage of trained personnel, insufficient use of technology like CCTV surveillance and early warning systems, and poor funding for disaster preparedness.

Legal compliance and awareness also remain limited. Event organizers, religious committees, and local authorities often prioritize tradition, political considerations, or revenue generation over safety regulations. This results in violations such as granting permits without adequate safety audits or exceeding capacity limits. Moreover, penalties for negligence under existing laws (like the Indian Penal Code / Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita or Environmental Protection Act) are either too minimal or not enforced strictly, reducing deterrence.

Finally, public behaviour and lack of risk awareness aggravate the problem. In many stampede cases, rumors, panic, and lack of discipline in crowds escalate the crisis. While the law mandates public awareness campaigns, their enforcement remains weak. Along with corruption, slow government processes, and political pressure, these problems make it hard to put the laws into real action for safe crowd control and disaster prevention.

7. CONCLUSION -

There is a need to enact a comprehensive crowd management law that consolidates the provisions of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), and the Disaster Management Act. Such a law should make risk assessments mandatory for large gatherings and hold organizers legally accountable for negligence or violations.

At the same time, specialized training in crowd psychology and safety should be introduced for concerned authorities as well as for community-level volunteers. The judiciary should also establish clear guidelines on proportionality to ensure that restrictions on assemblies balance both public safety and the right to peaceful gathering.

Finally, there is a strong need to conduct public legal awareness campaigns to educate citizens about safe participation in religious, political, and social gatherings. This combination of legal reforms, training, accountability, and awareness can significantly improve crowd management and disaster prevention in India.

References

- Constitution of India (1950)
- Code of Criminal Procedure (1973) and Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (2023)
- Indian Penal Code (1860) and Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita (2023)
- The Disaster Management Act 2005
- Chaturvedi, Shekher (2022). Training Module on Management of Crowd at Large Congregations, National Institute of Disaster Management, Delhi, India.

Case Law References –

- Himmat Lal Shah v. Commissioner of Police (1973)
- PUCL v. Union of India (1997)
- Ramlila Maidan Incident (2012)
- Allahabad High Court (Kumbh Mela Stampede cases, 1954 & 2013)
- Uphaar Cinema Fire Tragedy (Association of Victims of Uphaar Tragedy v. Union of India, 2003–2011)
- Dabwali Fire Tragedy (M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, related PILs, late 1990s)



WHITE BLACK
LEGAL