



INTERNATIONAL LAW  
JOURNAL

---

**WHITE BLACK  
LEGAL LAW  
JOURNAL**  
**ISSN: 2581-  
8503**

**Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal**

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

[WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN](http://WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN)

### **DISCLAIMER**

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK  
LEGAL

## **EDITORIAL** **TEAM**

### **Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS ) Indian Administrative Service** **officer**



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala . He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University . He also has an LLM (Pro) ( with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru

and a professional diploma in Public Procurement from the World Bank.

### **Dr. R. K. Upadhyay**

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB , LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.





## **Senior Editor**

### **Dr. Neha Mishra**



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

### **Ms. Sumiti Ahuja**

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



### **Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal**

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.



### **Dr. Rinu Saraswat**

Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

### **Dr. Nitesh Saraswat**

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



### **Subhrajit Chanda**

BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

## ***ABOUT US***

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal provided dedicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

# **LIQUOR, LAW AND LIBERTY: A HUMAN RIGHTS PERSPECTIVE ON GUJARAT PROHIBITION POLICY**

AUTHORED BY - KARBI CHATURVEDI

## **Abstract**

‘This study examines the Gujarat Prohibition Act of 1949 through the lens of human rights, focusing on the delicate balance between public health objectives and individual freedoms. While the law aims to promote social stability, moral values, and health by banning alcohol, it raises significant concerns regarding personal autonomy, cultural diversity, and socio-economic impacts. The analysis highlights how prohibition influences fundamental rights such as liberty, freedom of choice, and the right to health, emphasizing the potential for law to become paternalistic and infringe upon human dignity. Furthermore, the research explores unintended consequences, including the rise of illicit alcohol markets and social inequalities, which challenge the law's effectiveness. It advocates for evidence-based, rights-respecting policies centered on education, harm reduction, and community engagement to address alcohol-related issues without compromising individual freedoms. Ultimately, the paper underscores the importance of aligning public health strategies with human rights principles to foster equitable and respectful societal norms.’

## **Introduction**

In societies committed to the principles of personal freedom and human dignity, laws that intervene in individual lifestyle choices often evoke a complex web of ethical, social, and legal debates. Gujarat's long-standing prohibition policy exemplifies this tension—crafted with the intent to foster social harmony, public health, and moral discipline, yet raising profound concerns about personal autonomy, social justice, and human rights.

## **Gujarat Prohibition Policy**

Gujarat's Prohibition Policy, enacted through the Gujarat Prohibition Act of 1949, establishes one of the strictest alcohol bans in India by prohibiting the manufacture, sale, and consumption of alcoholic beverages within the state. Rooted deeply in Gujarat's cultural, religious, and historical milieu—especially the Gandhian ideals of temperance—the policy aims to promote



public health, social welfare, and moral upliftment. It seeks to mitigate alcohol-related health problems such as addiction and liver disease, reduce social evils like domestic violence and crime associated with alcohol abuse, and preserve the cultural and religious values predominant in the state's Hindu and Jain communities. The Act defines alcoholic beverages precisely and imposes severe penalties including fines and imprisonment for violations, with enforcement agencies tasked with ensuring compliance. Despite its noble objectives, the policy faces enduring challenges: a persistent demand for alcohol has led to a thriving black market and illicit production, exposing consumers to unregulated and often hazardous liquors, and breeding corruption and enforcement difficulties. Moreover, prohibition raises critical debates about individual freedoms, since it restricts personal autonomy and freedom of choice, sometimes disproportionately impacting marginalized groups involved in illegal trade or subject to harsh enforcement. Thus, while the Gujarat Prohibition Policy embodies a comprehensive legal and moral framework aimed at social reform and public health, its practical implementation reveals a complex interplay between law, public health, human rights, and socio-economic realities

### **Roots and Rationale Behind Gujarat's Prohibition**

The Gujarat Prohibition Act of 1949 symbolizes a socio-cultural and political effort to align public behavior with moral and religious values, especially those derived from Gandhian principles of temperance and non-violence. The law bars the manufacture, sale, and consumption of alcoholic beverages, effectively making the state a "dry zone." The government's primary justification centers around public health concerns, aiming to reduce alcohol-related accidents, domestic violence, liver diseases, and social disorder.

Beyond health, prohibition is perceived as a moral rectification—an attempt to uphold cultural norms that discourage alcohol consumption as a source of moral decay and social instability. This blend of health and morality forms the foundation of the state's stance, which sees itself as a moral guardian of its citizens.

### **The Human Cost of Alcohol Bans**

While the intentions behind prohibition are arguably well-meaning, the real-life consequences often paint a more troubling picture. The rise of illegal liquor markets is a stark paradox: despite stringent enforcement, alcohol continues to find its way into the hands of consumers through



clandestine channels. These unregulated markets pose severe health risks, as many illicit brews are toxic, contaminated, or adulterated, leading to poisonings, long-term health issues, and even deaths.

Marginalized communities tend to bear the brunt of such policies disproportionately. Rural populations, low-income groups, and vulnerable families experience the most adverse effects. They face criminal penalties, social stigmatization, and the erosion of economic opportunities linked to the illegal trade—such as bootlegging and smuggling. Women and children in these communities often suffer the collateral damage of alcohol-related violence and neglect, which prohibition struggles to address.

### **Personal Autonomy and Human Rights**

At the heart of the controversy lies a fundamental question rooted in human rights: should individuals have the sovereignty to make personal choices regarding their health and lifestyle? According to international legal principles like the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, every human being possesses the right to liberty, personal autonomy, and self-determination.

The Gujarat law, by banning alcohol, directly restricts this autonomy. It denies adults the right to make informed decisions about their own bodies and personal well-being—decisions traditionally protected as part of individual freedom. While governments have a legitimate interest in safeguarding public health, this must be balanced against respecting human dignity and personal rights.

Prohibition, critics argue, veers toward paternalism—assuming that the state knows what is best for its citizens, often dismissing the importance of personal choice. This paternalistic stance raises ethical dilemmas: is it justifiable for the state to impose moral standards that may conflict with individual values and cultural diversity? Should laws restrict personal liberties in pursuit of societal goals, or should health and morality be left to personal judgment?

### **Ethical Dilemmas in Public Policy**

Prohibition laws in Gujarat also invoke ethical questions about the limits of government authority. While the law aims to promote social stability and better health outcomes,

enforcement often results in human suffering, social injustice, and increased criminal activity. The paradox is that, in attempting to eliminate alcohol-related harm, the law sometimes exacerbates health risks and social inequalities.

Additionally, the law's moral and cultural underpinnings reflect a particular worldview that may not be shared universally. India's diverse society comprises myriad beliefs, traditions, and lifestyles. Enforcing strict prohibition based on a singular moral outlook can consequently marginalize minority groups and infringe upon their cultural practices.

A paternalistic approach that enforces abstinence might also undermine the principles of respect for personal morality and social pluralism. Rather than curtailing individual freedoms outright, policymakers could embrace nuanced strategies—such as health education, addiction treatment, and harm reduction—that respect human rights while addressing social. Such approaches acknowledge that informed, voluntary choices—rather than coercion—are central to a free and just society.

### **The Social and Economic Consequences**

The law's implications extend beyond individual liberty to profound social and economic realms. The illegal alcohol trade flourishes despite prohibition, escalating crime rates and straining law enforcement resources. The illegal market also erodes government revenue from alcohol taxes, funds criminal enterprises, and often perpetuates violence and corruption.

Furthermore, the social fabric of communities is affected as families often bear the brunt of alcohol-related issues—domestic violence, child abuse, neglect, and breakdown of relationships become more widespread. Children and women suffer invisibly within these social dynamics, intensifying social inequalities and marginalization.

### **Towards Human-Centric Policies**

The debate over Gujarat's prohibition illustrates the necessity of designing policies rooted in compassion, respect, and human rights. Instead of relying solely on bans, governments should prioritize evidence-based strategies that empower individuals—such as education about health risks, accessible addiction treatment, and community support systems.

A rights-based approach recognizes that most adults have the capacity for informed decision-making. Laws should facilitate informed choices, not restrict them unnecessarily. Public health

measures need to be holistic and culturally sensitive, balancing societal benefits with respect for individual dignity.

## Criticism

The Gujarat Prohibition Policy, while rooted in commendable goals of improving public health and advancing social welfare, has attracted extensive criticism for its practical shortcomings and ethical implications.

- 1. Undermining Public Health Objectives Through Illegal Trade:** Despite the ban on alcohol manufacture, sale, and consumption, the perpetual demand for alcohol among the population has led to the creation of a sizeable black market. Illegal production and distribution networks have flourished, providing unregulated and often dangerously unsafe alcoholic products. Consumption of such illicit liquor has resulted in numerous public health crises, including poisoning and deaths, thereby counteracting the very health benefits prohibition seeks to deliver. The policy inadvertently shifts alcohol consumption from a regulated environment to a hazardous underground market, exacerbating the risks to individuals and communities,.
- 2. Enforcement Challenges and Corruption:** The implementation of prohibition laws has faced persistent enforcement difficulties. Law enforcement agencies struggle with limited resources, corruption, and sometimes public apathy, which weakens the effectiveness of crackdowns against illegal alcohol trade. The clandestine nature of bootlegging and organized crime syndicates that benefit from prohibition further complicates enforcement. Instead of eliminating alcohol-related social problems, prohibition has fueled new forms of criminal activities, creating a cycle that undermines legal and social order,.
- 3. Infringement on Individual Rights and Personal Autonomy:** At the core of the criticism lies the ethical concern about the state's paternalistic interference in personal choice. By categorically banning alcohol consumption, the Gujarat Prohibition Act restricts adult individuals' autonomy to make informed decisions regarding their own health and lifestyle. This raises significant human rights issues, as the law imposes a singular moral framework aligned with Gandhian temperance principles without consideration for the pluralistic society's diversity in values and freedoms. Critics argue that such moral regulation may conflict with democratic ideals where individual liberties, including the freedom to consume alcohol responsibly, should be respected,.



- 4. Disproportionate Impact on Marginalized Communities:** Economic and social consequences of prohibition are unevenly distributed. Marginalized and poorer sections of society disproportionately suffer, being more vulnerable to exploitation by the illegal alcohol market and stricter law enforcement actions. Many are drawn into illicit activities like bootlegging, or suffer from punitive policing measures that do little to address underlying social problems. Consequently, prohibition can deepen existing inequalities and leave the most vulnerable penalized rather than protected, perpetuating cycles of poverty and social exclusion,.
- 5. Questionable Effectiveness and Legacy of Political Expediency:** Some critics view the policy as partly motivated by political calculations rather than purely social reform aims. Historically, prohibition aligned with the Indian National Congress's efforts to consolidate support among communities adhering to Gandhian ideals, particularly in Gujarat's rural areas. However, this political dimension led to debates about whether prohibition was more a tool for moral policing and social control than a pragmatic public health strategy. Comparisons with other contexts, such as the failed US Prohibition era, highlight the difficulties of enforcing sweeping bans on alcohol and call into question whether such laws achieve lasting social benefits,.
- 6. Paternalism vs. Public Health Ethics:** The policy embodies a paternalistic model where the state assumes it knows best for its citizens by depriving them of their freedom to make potentially risky personal choices. While intended to protect public health, this approach raises the dilemma of balancing collective welfare against individual freedoms. The strict prohibition may alienate individuals who would benefit more from health education, counseling, and regulated consumption frameworks rather than outright bans, suggesting that prohibition may not represent the most effective or ethical public health intervention,.

In summary, the Gujarat Prohibition Policy invites criticism for fostering illegal markets that pose greater health risks than controlled alcohol consumption; for enforcement hurdles and corruption that undermine the rule of law; for infringing on fundamental human rights and personal liberties; for exacerbating socioeconomic inequalities; and for its debatable effectiveness compounded by historical political motives. These challenges underscore the complexity of legislating personal conduct through prohibition and highlight the need for nuanced policies that balance public health, human rights, and social Justice.

## Conclusion

“Liquor, Law and Liberty” underscore that laws seeking to regulate personal behavior must tread carefully—aiming not merely at social control but also at safeguarding human rights and human dignity. Gujarat’s prohibition law, while rooted in moral and health concerns, exemplifies the delicate and often contentious interplay between law, morality, and individual liberty.

Moving forward, societies should aspire to policies that respect personal autonomy, promote health through education and support, and recognize the diversity of cultural values. Only then can the true aim of public health—protecting and enhancing human well-being—be achieved without sacrificing the fundamental freedoms that define human dignity.

## Bibliography

1. Luca, Dara Lee, Emily Owens, and Gunjan Sharma. “Can Alcohol Prohibition Reduce Violence Against Women?” *Journal*, Year. This paper examines the impact of alcohol prohibition on violence against women in India, finding that prohibition significantly reduces male drinking and domestic violence, and discusses related legal and economic implications [T8, p. 8].
2. World Health Organization. “The Global Strategy to Reduce the Harmful Use of Alcohol.” *Research Document*, Year. This strategy emphasizes a comprehensive approach involving multiple sectors to mitigate alcohol-related harm globally, including policy implementation and evaluation [T8, p. 8].
3. Kumar, Siddhartha. “Alcohol Prohibition, Its Economic Impact and Constitutionality: Special Reference to Bihar and Gujarat.” Blog Post, Year. Discusses the constitutional challenges and economic consequences of prohibition laws, with specific focus on Bihar and Gujarat, including legal and human rights aspects [T7, p. 7].
4. “Insufficient understanding of stakeholder roles in shaping prohibition policies.” *Workshop Report*, National Judicial Academy, Year. Highlights the gaps in empirical research, stakeholder analysis, and evaluation of prohibition policies’ effectiveness [T7, p. 7].

## References

- Ayesha, personal interview, Surat, Gujarat, 2023
- Gujarat Prohibition Act, 1949
- Kerala Prohibition Policy Report, 2014
- Mahatma Gandhi, Speech on Liquor Licenses, Ahmedabad, 1921
- National Crime Records Bureau, India, Report on Illicit Liquor Deaths, 2022
- Ramesh Patel, personal interview, Anand, Gujarat, 2023
- Surat Police Raid Report, 2023
- United States National Prohibition Act, 1920
- Constitution of India
- Gujarat Prohibition Act (Amendment) Act, 1963
- Federation of Hotel and Restaurant Associations of India (FHRAI), Estimate (2020)
- Human Rights Watch, Saudi Arabia: Mass Trials, Harsh Sentences (Human Rights Watch, 2021)
- Supreme Court of India, State of Gujarat v Mirzapur Motalib Hasan (2005) 8 SCC 534
- National Legal Services Authority, Ruling (2014)
- Amnesty International, Iran: End Cruel and Inhuman Punishments (Amnesty International, 2019)

WHITE BLACK  
LEGAL