

The background of the journal cover features a top-down view of a desk. On the left, a pair of black leather brogue shoes is partially visible. In the center, an open notebook with lined pages and a silver pen lies on a light-colored wooden surface. To the right, a black leather bag with a zipper and a black leather watch with a silver face are also visible. A large, semi-transparent white rectangular box is centered over the image, containing the journal's title and ISSN information.

INTERNATIONAL LAW  
JOURNAL

---

**WHITE BLACK  
LEGAL LAW  
JOURNAL**  
**ISSN: 2581-  
8503**

*Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal*

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

[WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN](http://WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN)

## DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, translated, or distributed in any form or by any means—whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise—without the prior written permission of the Editor-in-Chief of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*.

All copyrights in the articles published in this journal vest with *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*, unless otherwise expressly stated. Authors are solely responsible for the originality, authenticity, accuracy, and legality of the content submitted and published.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles are exclusively those of the respective authors. They do not represent or reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, or Management of *White Black Legal*.

While reasonable efforts are made to ensure academic quality and accuracy through editorial and peer-review processes, *White Black Legal* makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, regarding the completeness, accuracy, reliability, or suitability of the content published. The journal shall not be liable for any errors, omissions, inaccuracies, or consequences arising from the use, interpretation, or reliance upon the information contained in this publication.

The content published in this journal is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice, professional advice, or legal opinion. *White Black Legal* expressly disclaims all liability for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising directly or indirectly from the use of any material published herein.

## ABOUT WHITE BLACK LEGAL

*White Black Legal – The Law Journal* is an open-access, peer-reviewed, and refereed legal journal established to provide a scholarly platform for the examination and discussion of contemporary legal issues. The journal is dedicated to encouraging rigorous legal research, critical analysis, and informed academic discourse across diverse fields of law.

The journal invites contributions from law students, researchers, academicians, legal practitioners, and policy scholars. By facilitating engagement between emerging scholars and experienced legal professionals, *White Black Legal* seeks to bridge theoretical legal research with practical, institutional, and societal perspectives.

In a rapidly evolving social, economic, and technological environment, the journal endeavours to examine the changing role of law and its impact on governance, justice systems, and society. *White Black Legal* remains committed to academic integrity, ethical research practices, and the dissemination of accessible legal scholarship to a global readership.

## AIM & SCOPE

The aim of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* is to promote excellence in legal research and to provide a credible academic forum for the analysis, discussion, and advancement of contemporary legal issues. The journal encourages original, analytical, and well-researched contributions that add substantive value to legal scholarship.

The journal publishes scholarly works examining doctrinal, theoretical, empirical, and interdisciplinary perspectives of law. Submissions are welcomed from academicians, legal professionals, researchers, scholars, and students who demonstrate intellectual rigour, analytical clarity, and relevance to current legal and policy developments.

The scope of the journal includes, but is not limited to:

- Constitutional and Administrative Law
- Criminal Law and Criminal Justice
- Corporate, Commercial, and Business Laws
- Intellectual Property and Technology Law
- International Law and Human Rights
- Environmental and Sustainable Development Law
- Cyber Law, Artificial Intelligence, and Emerging Technologies
- Family Law, Labour Law, and Social Justice Studies

The journal accepts original research articles, case comments, legislative and policy analyses, book reviews, and interdisciplinary studies addressing legal issues at national and international levels. All submissions are subject to a rigorous double-blind peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* seeks to foster critical legal thinking and contribute to the development of law as an instrument of justice, governance, and social progress, while expressly disclaiming responsibility for the application or misuse of published content.

# **JUDICIAL ACTIVISM IN ENVIRONMENTAL LEGISLATION – INDIA**

AUTHORED BY - CHIRAG<sup>1</sup> & DR. VINTEE<sup>2</sup>

1 Research Scholar, Department of Faculty of law, Baba Mastnath University

2 Assistant Professor, Department of Faculty of law, Baba Mastnath University

## **ABSTRACT**

Environmental protection in India has evolved significantly due to the proactive role of the judiciary. In the early decades after independence, environmental concerns were often sidelined in favor of industrial and economic development. However, catastrophic events such as the Bhopal Gas Tragedy exposed the urgent need for stronger environmental safeguards. In response, the Indian judiciary expanded the scope of constitutional provisions, particularly Article 21, to include the right to a clean and healthy environment. Through judicial activism and innovative interpretation of laws, courts have developed key environmental doctrines such as absolute liability, the polluter pays principle, and sustainable development. Despite these advancements, challenges related to enforcement and administrative inefficiency persist. This paper critically examines the role, contributions, and limitations of judicial activism in environmental legislation in India.

**Keywords:** Judicial Activism, Environmental Law, Article 21, Public Interest Litigation, Sustainable Development, Polluter Pays Principle, Absolute Liability, Indian Judiciary

## **INTRODUCTION**

India's developmental trajectory, particularly after the economic reforms of 1991, has been marked by rapid industrialization and urban expansion. While these changes contributed to economic growth, they also caused significant environmental degradation, including air and water pollution, deforestation, and loss of biodiversity. Initially, environmental protection was not a central concern of policymakers, and existing laws were insufficient to address emerging challenges.

In this context, the judiciary began to play an increasingly active role in environmental

governance. Through the mechanism of Public Interest Litigation (PIL), courts became accessible to citizens and environmental groups seeking justice. Judicial activism enabled courts where legislative and executive actions were inadequate, thereby filling critical gaps in governance. Over time, the judiciary established itself as a key institution in protecting environmental rights.

### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Although judicial activism has significantly contributed to environmental protection, its effectiveness remains a subject of debate. While courts have issued progressive judgments and developed important legal principles, the implementation of these decisions often depends on administrative agencies that may lack capacity or commitment. This raises concerns about whether judicial intervention alone can ensure long-term environmental sustainability in India.<sup>1</sup>

### **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

The primary objective of this study is to examine the role of judicial activism in shaping environmental legislation in India. It also seeks to analyze landmark judgments that have contributed to environmental jurisprudence and to evaluate the effectiveness of judicial intervention. Additionally, the study aims to identify existing challenges and suggest measures to improve environmental governance.

### **HYPOTHESIS**

Judicial activism has played a crucial role in strengthening environmental protection by expanding the scope of fundamental rights and introducing key legal doctrines. However, its success depends on effective implementation and coordination among various branches of government.

### **JUDICIAL ACTIVISM AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION**

The Indian judiciary has significantly expanded the interpretation of constitutional provisions to include environmental protection. Articles 48A and 51A(g) of the Constitution emphasize the duty of the state and citizens to protect the environment.<sup>2</sup> However, it is through judicial interpretation of Article 21 that environmental rights have gained enforceability.

The Supreme Court has consistently held that the right to life includes the right to a clean and

healthy environment.<sup>3</sup> This broad interpretation has enabled courts to address a wide range of environmental issues, including pollution control, conservation of forests, and protection of natural resources. Judicial activism has thus transformed environmental protection from a policy objective into a fundamental right.

### **LANDMARK JUDGMENTS**

The development of environmental jurisprudence in India can be traced through several landmark cases. In *Ratlam Municipality v. Vardhichand*, the Supreme Court emphasized that local authorities have a statutory obligation to maintain public sanitation and cannot evade responsibility due to financial constraints.<sup>4</sup> This case established a direct link between environmental conditions and human dignity.

In *Rural Litigation and Entitlement Kendra v. State of Uttar Pradesh*, also known as the Dehradun Quarrying Case, the Court ordered the closure of environmentally harmful mining activities.<sup>5</sup> The judgment highlighted the importance of ecological balance and introduced the concept of sustainable development.

In *M.C. Mehta v. Union of India* (Oleum Gas Leak Case), the Supreme Court introduced the doctrine of absolute liability, holding industries strictly liable for harm caused by hazardous activities.<sup>6</sup> This marked a significant departure from traditional liability principles.

Similarly, in *Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action v. Union of India*, the Court reinforced the polluter pays principle by requiring industries to compensate for environmental damage.<sup>7</sup> These cases collectively demonstrate the judiciary's proactive role in shaping environmental law.

### **KEY DOCTRINES DEVELOPED BY THE JUDICIARY**

The doctrine of absolute liability represents a major advancement in environmental law, as it imposes strict responsibility on industries engaged in hazardous activities. Unlike traditional liability rules, it does not allow exceptions, thereby ensuring greater protection for affected communities.

The polluter pays principle further strengthens accountability by requiring those responsible

for pollution to bear the cost of environmental damage and restoration. This principle not only compensates victims but also acts as a deterrent against harmful practices.

The precautionary principle emphasizes preventive action and shifts the burden of proof onto those undertaking potentially harmful activities. It recognizes that lack of scientific certainty should not delay measures to prevent environmental harm.

The public trust doctrine establishes that natural resources such as air, water, and forests are held by the state in trust for the public and cannot be exploited for private gain. Additionally, the concept of sustainable development ensures that economic progress does not compromise the needs of future generations.<sup>8</sup>

### **ROLE OF PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION (PIL)**

Public Interest Litigation has played a transformative role in environmental protection by making the judiciary accessible to all sections of society. It allows individuals and organizations to approach the courts on behalf of affected communities, thereby promoting environmental justice.

Through PILs, courts have addressed various issues, including industrial pollution, deforestation, and waste management. This has not only increased public awareness but also ensured greater accountability of authorities. However, the misuse of PILs for personal or political motives has raised concerns, prompting courts to adopt stricter scrutiny.<sup>9</sup>

### **INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK**

India has established several institutions to support environmental protection. The Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) plays a key role in monitoring pollution and advising the government. State Pollution Control Boards (SPCBs) are responsible for implementing environmental regulations at the state level.

The establishment of the National Green Tribunal (NGT) in 2010 marked a significant step toward specialized environmental adjudication. The NGT provides a faster and more efficient mechanism for resolving environmental disputes and applies key principles such as sustainable development and the polluter pays principle.<sup>10</sup>

## **CRITICAL ANALYSIS**

Despite its contributions, judicial activism has faced criticism on several grounds. One major concern is judicial overreach, where courts are perceived to encroach upon the functions of the legislature and executive. This raises questions about the separation of powers.

Another issue is the inconsistency in judicial decisions, which can create uncertainty in environmental governance. Furthermore, the effectiveness of judicial activism is often limited by weak enforcement mechanisms and administrative inefficiencies. These challenges highlight the need for a balanced approach that ensures both judicial intervention and institutional efficiency.

## **COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE**

India's approach to judicial activism in environmental matters differs from that of many other countries. In nations such as the United States and the United Kingdom, environmental regulation is primarily handled through legislation and regulatory agencies. In contrast, the Indian judiciary has taken a more proactive role due to governance gaps and socio-economic challenges.<sup>11</sup>

## **SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Effective environmental protection requires a collaborative approach involving all stakeholders. Strengthening enforcement mechanisms is essential to ensure compliance with judicial decisions. Improved coordination between the judiciary, legislature, and executive can enhance policy implementation.

Additionally, measures should be taken to prevent the misuse of PILs while encouraging genuine public participation. Investment in environmental education and capacity building of regulatory authorities can further strengthen environmental governance.

## **CONCLUSION**

Judicial activism has played a pivotal role in shaping environmental law in India. By expanding the scope of fundamental rights and introducing key doctrines, the judiciary has significantly strengthened environmental protection. However, its effectiveness is limited by challenges related to implementation and enforcement. A coordinated effort involving all branches of

government and society is necessary to achieve sustainable environmental development.

### **REFERENCES**

1. Upendra Baxi, *The Indian Judiciary and Social Justice*.
2. Constitution of India, Articles 48A & 51A(g).
3. *Subhash Kumar v. State of Bihar*, AIR 1991 SC 420.
4. *Ratlam Municipality v. Vardhichand*, AIR 1980 SC 1622.
5. *Rural Litigation and Entitlement Kendra v. State of UP*, AIR 1985 SC 652.
6. *M.C. Mehta v. Union of India*, AIR 1987 SC 1086.
7. *Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action v. Union of India*, (1996) 3 SCC 212.
8. M.C. Mehta, *Environmental Jurisprudence in India* (1999).
9. S.P. Sathe, *Judicial Activism in India* (2002).
10. National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.
11. K.G. Balakrishnan, "Role of Judiciary in Environmental Protection" (2010).
12. Baxi, Upendra. *The Indian Judiciary and Social Justice*.
13. Sathe, S.P. *Judicial Activism in India*.
14. Mehta, M.C. *Environmental Jurisprudence in India*.
15. AIR and SCC Case Reports
16. National Green Tribunal Act, 2010
17. Articles and legal journals on environmental law

WHITE BLACK  
LEGAL