



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

EDITORIAL **TEAM**

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service **officer**



a professional
Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti-Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala. He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University. He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru and diploma in Public

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB , LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.



Dr. Rinu Saraswat

Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



Subhrajit Chanda

BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal provided dedicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

GENDER AND LAW IN INDIA: CONSTITUTIONAL FOUNDATIONS AND EMERGING FRONTIERS

AUTHORED BY - MATHUKUTTY JOSE

Abstract

This paper critically explores the relationship between gender and law in India, evaluating constitutional guarantees, legislative developments, and judicial interpretations that impact gender equality, with particular focus on women's rights and transgender self-determination. It analyzes the intersection of feminist jurisprudence and legal reform, critiques persistent discrimination embedded in personal and statutory laws, and highlights recent judicial affirmation of gender identity rights.

1. Introduction

Law, as a tool of social ordering, is expected to uphold neutrality and justice. However, in practice, it often reflects dominant patriarchal norms, making it gender-blind rather than gender-neutral. Through feminist jurisprudence, law's role as both an agent of change and an instrument of oppression is revealed. This paper explores how law functions as both a facilitator and a barrier to gender justice, focusing on the Indian context where legal reforms are contested terrains for achieving substantive equality.

2. Gender Equality and the Indian Constitution

2.1 Equality Provisions and Legal Tests

Articles 14 to 18 of the Indian Constitution lay the foundation for equality. Article 14 guarantees equality before the law and equal protection of the law. The Supreme Court has interpreted this provision to include tests like reasonable classification and arbitrariness, as seen in *Menaka Gandhi v. Union of India*. The judiciary has progressively used Article 14 to strike down gender-discriminatory laws and practices.

2.2 Special Provisions and Affirmative Action

Article 15(3) empowers the State to make special provisions for women and children. Judicial interpretations, such as in *State of A.P. v. Vijayakumar*, uphold the constitutionality of

reservations for women, including in Panchayati Raj institutions under Articles 243D and 243T. Such affirmative actions align with substantive equality goals of the Constitution.

2.3 Expanding Article 21: Right to Life with Dignity

Article 21 has evolved from a narrow right to life to include a wide spectrum of rights encompassing dignity, privacy, and bodily autonomy. In *Vishakha v. State of Rajasthan*, the Supreme Court linked dignity to protection from sexual harassment. This transformative reading has informed subsequent gender-protective laws and jurisprudence.

3. Legislative Framework for Gender Justice

3.1 Personal Law Reforms

Post-independence India retained personal laws, some of which have been reformed. The Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act, 2005 ensured daughters' equal rights in coparcenary property. In contrast, the Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986 reversed the progressive *Shah Bano* ruling, exposing the challenges of reconciling religious freedoms with gender equality.

3.2 Gender-Specific Statutes

Statutes such as the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 and the Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (PNDT) Act, 1994 respond to specific gendered harms. These laws represent a shift towards addressing gender inequality both within and outside domestic spaces, recognizing structural forms of violence and discrimination.

3.3 Labor and Economic Rights

Legislation like the Equal Remuneration Act, 1976 and the Maternity Benefit Act, 1961 aim to correct workplace disparities. Case law, including *Mackinnon Mackenzie v. Audrey D'Costa* and *Municipal Corp. of Delhi v. Female Workers*, has emphasized non-discriminatory employment practices and extended protections to informal sector workers.

4. Transgender Rights and the Constitutional Right to Self-Determination

4.1 Judicial Recognition in NALSA and Navtej

In *NALSA v. Union of India* (2014), the Supreme Court recognized the right of transgender persons to self-identify their gender, affirming this as a part of Article 21. *Navtej Singh Johar*

v. Union of India (2018) further anchored sexual orientation and gender identity within the constitutional framework of dignity and privacy, aligning Indian law with global standards such as the Yogyakarta Principles.

4.2 Right to Bodily Integrity

The Supreme Court in *K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India* (2017) reaffirmed bodily autonomy and integrity as facets of the right to privacy. The Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019, however, conditions legal gender recognition on surgical proof under Section 7, which contradicts this judicial reasoning.

4.3 Rejection of the Medical Model

The insistence on medical intervention for gender recognition has been rejected in Indian and international jurisprudence. The *Garçon & Nicot v. France* decision by the European Court of Human Rights illustrates global movement against medicalization of gender identity. The Indian model needs to be realigned with the right to dignity and self-determination.

4.4 Misuse and Fraud: A Flawed Argument

Claims that transgender individuals misuse welfare measures are unsupported by data. These arguments mirror historical resistance to affirmative action for women and marginalized communities, as documented by scholars such as Flavia Agnes. The misuse narrative is a form of structural gatekeeping.

5. Transformative Equality: A Future Framework

5.1 Beyond Formal Equality

The Indian legal system must move beyond formal equality to embrace transformative constitutionalism. This approach recognizes intersectionality, historical disadvantage, and systemic inequality. The Constitution should be a tool to dismantle existing hierarchies and create enabling conditions for all genders.

5.2 Policy Recommendations

- Amend the Transgender Act to align with the NALSA judgment and remove surgical requirements.
- Implement non-discrimination norms across education, healthcare, employment, and

public services.

- Develop gender sensitivity training for judiciary and government officials.
- Institutionalize intersectional frameworks in legislation and policy-making.

Conclusion

India's legal framework has taken significant steps toward gender justice, yet challenges in implementation, patriarchal legal culture, and exclusion of gender-diverse voices remain. Bridging these gaps demands a concerted shift toward inclusive, intersectional, and constitutionally grounded legal reform.

