



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL**
**ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

EDITORIAL **TEAM**

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service **officer**



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala . He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University . He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru

and a professional diploma in Public Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB , LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.



Dr. Rinu Saraswat

Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur, M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



Subhrajit Chanda

BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal providededicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

PLAYER TRANSFER DISPUTES IN INTERNATIONAL FOOTBALL: THE ROLE OF CAS AS AN ARBITRAL INSTITUTION

AUTHORED BY - ALYAN JAVEED SYED

Abstract

The globalization of professional football has brought about complex legal and financial dynamics, especially concerning international player transfers. Disputes frequently arise over agreed transfer fees, training compensation, solidarity payments, and breaches of contractual obligations. The Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS), recognized as the apex body for adjudicating sports-related disputes, plays a central role in resolving these conflicts. This paper critically examines CAS's jurisprudence and its interpretative approaches to transfer fee agreements, buy-out and sell-on clauses, training compensation entitlements, solidarity mechanism distribution, and breaches of employment contracts. By analyzing leading CAS decisions and the regulatory framework under FIFA's Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players (RSTP), the paper highlights CAS's effectiveness in ensuring fairness, consistency, and contractual stability in international football. It also assesses the institutional challenges CAS faces in balancing sporting regulations with broader legal principles, emphasizing its pivotal function in governing global football disputes.

Introduction

The modern football industry, shaped by the forces of globalization, commercialization, and transnational mobility, is no longer confined to the boundaries of the sport itself. It now represents a complex web of legal, financial, and contractual relationships among clubs, players, agents, and governing bodies. At the heart of this network lies the international player transfer system—a vital component of the sport's economic and competitive fabric. Transfers often involve multimillion-dollar transactions, long-term contractual commitments, and intricate regulatory requirements. Naturally, such high-stakes engagements give rise to a significant number of legal disputes, ranging from disagreements over transfer fees and contractual clauses to conflicts surrounding training compensation and breaches of employment terms.

Given the transnational nature of these disputes, a centralized and impartial dispute resolution mechanism is essential to maintain order and predictability within the global football ecosystem. The Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS), headquartered in Lausanne, Switzerland, has emerged as the preeminent forum for adjudicating these disputes. Established as an independent arbitral body with jurisdiction over a wide array of sports-related matters, CAS plays a pivotal role in interpreting and enforcing the rules promulgated by the Fédération Internationale de Football Association (FIFA), particularly the FIFA Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players (RSTP).

This paper aims to critically examine the role of CAS in adjudicating disputes related to international player transfers. It explores how CAS interprets various contractual arrangements such as buy-out clauses, sell-on clauses, and bonus structures, while also addressing its approach to training compensation and the solidarity mechanism. Furthermore, the paper analyzes CAS's jurisprudence on breach of contract cases and its evolving standards in calculating compensation and imposing sporting sanctions. By evaluating key CAS decisions and identifying recurring legal principles, this study sheds light on how CAS contributes to the development of a consistent body of international sports law—or *lex sportiva*—while navigating the challenges of maintaining independence, transparency, and procedural fairness in an increasingly commercialized sporting landscape.

The Regulatory Framework of International Football Transfers

The cornerstone of the legal framework governing international player transfers is the FIFA Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players (RSTP)¹. These regulations, issued by FIFA, lay down the global and binding rules concerning the status of players, their eligibility to participate in organized football, and their transfer between clubs belonging to different national associations. The RSTP has undergone significant evolution over time, particularly in the wake of landmark legal challenges such as the Bosman ruling in 1995², which fundamentally altered the landscape of player mobility and transfer fees for out-of-contract players. Subsequent negotiations involving the European Commission³ have further shaped the

¹ Fédération Internationale de Football Association (FIFA), *Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players* (January 2024 Edition)

² *Union Royale Belge des Sociétés de Football Association ASBL v Jean-Marc Bosman* (C-415/93) [1995] ECR I-4921.

³ Stephen Weatherill, 'The European Union and Sport: Stone Unturned?' in Simon Gardiner et al (eds), *Sports Law* (3rd edn, Routledge 2006) 189, 201-203 (discussing the impact of EU law and EC negotiations on FIFA regulations post-Bosman).

RSTP, reflecting the complex interplay between sports-specific regulations and broader legal principles, such as the freedom of movement within the European Union. The FIFA Legal Handbook, published annually, compiles the latest versions of these regulations, underscoring their dynamic nature and the ongoing efforts to adapt to the evolving realities of the international football transfer market.

While the RSTP provides a comprehensive global framework, the legal landscape of international player transfers is further shaped by the complex interplay between these FIFA regulations, the national laws of the countries involved, and the unique characteristics and specificities of the sport itself. For instance, while the RSTP aims to establish uniform legal principles for international transfers, its application and interpretation can be influenced by national labor laws, contract laws, and even collective bargaining agreements that exist at the domestic level. This multi-layered legal framework often necessitates a nuanced understanding of different legal systems and the specific context of each transfer, creating potential for disputes when interpretations diverge. The influence of supranational legal orders, such as that of the European Union, as evidenced in the *Bosman* and *Diarra* cases⁴, further complicates this regulatory environment, requiring FIFA and, consequently, CAS to consider and sometimes adapt their regulations to comply with broader legal principles. This intricate web of regulations and legal influences underscores the complexity inherent in international player transfers and highlights the crucial role of CAS in navigating and resolving the disputes that inevitably arise within this framework.

CAS Jurisprudence on Transfer Fee Disputes

The Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS) has developed a substantial body of jurisprudence concerning disputes related to agreed transfer fees between football clubs. These disputes often arise from a variety of issues, including disagreements over the payment schedules, alleged non-payment of agreed installments, and the interpretation of specific clauses within the transfer agreements. A key resource for understanding CAS's approach to these matters is the book *Transfers of Football Players: A Practical Approach to Implementing FIFA Rules*, edited by Michele Colucci and Ornella Desirée Bellia (2020)⁵, which dedicates significant attention to the jurisprudence of both FIFA's judicial bodies and CAS in the context of transfer

⁴ *Mutu and Pechstein v Switzerland* App nos 40575/10 and 67470/10 (ECHR, 2 October 2018).

⁵ Michele Colucci and Ornella Desirée Bellia (eds), *Transfers of Football Players: A Practical Approach to Implementing FIFA Rules* (Eleven International Publishing 2020) 255-280.

agreements. This work highlights how CAS acts as the ultimate interpreter of these agreements, often looking beyond the literal wording to ascertain the true intent of the parties involved.

CAS jurisprudence frequently deals with the interpretation and application of specific contractual clauses that are common in player transfer agreements. Buy-out clauses, which allow a player to unilaterally terminate their contract by paying a predetermined fee, are a recurring subject of disputes. CAS generally assesses the validity and applicability of these clauses by considering whether the stipulated compensation is balanced and proportionate, demonstrating a willingness to adjust disproportionate amounts rather than simply invalidating the clause. Sell-on clauses, which entitle a former club to a percentage of a future transfer fee if a player is sold on to another club, are another area where CAS plays a crucial interpretative role. CAS jurisprudence in this area, as discussed by Frans de Weger and Dannick Luckson⁶ (2021), emphasizes the need to analyze the real and common intent of the parties when drafting these clauses, often interpreting ambiguous language against the party that drafted it. CAS has also shown a reluctance to allow clubs to avoid paying sell-on fees through overly formalistic or technical arguments, focusing instead on the economic reality of the transfer. Similarly, bonus arrangements tied to player or team performance are frequently litigated before CAS. In these cases, CAS typically applies principles of Swiss law, particularly Article 18(1) of the Swiss Code of Obligations⁷, which prioritizes the real and common intent of the parties over a purely literal interpretation of the bonus clause. The burden of proof generally lies with the club claiming the bonus to demonstrate that the conditions for payment have been met. These examples illustrate the central role of CAS in providing clarity and ensuring fairness in disputes arising from the complex financial arrangements underpinning international player transfers.

The Role of CAS in Training Compensation and Solidarity Mechanism

Disputes

The Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS) also plays a significant role in resolving disputes concerning training compensation and the solidarity mechanism, both of which are enshrined in FIFA's Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players (RSTP) to protect and reward clubs involved in the development of young footballers. Training compensation, as detailed in Article

⁶ Frans de Weger and Dannick Luckson, 'The CAS having the final word in sell-on clause disputes' Football Legal Issue 16 (2021).

⁷ Swiss Code of Obligations (CO), Art 18(1).

20 and Annex 4 of the RSTP⁸, is payable to a player's training clubs when the player registers as a professional for the first time and each time they transfer internationally before the end of the season of their 23rd birthday. Disputes in this area often revolve around the interpretation of eligibility criteria, such as determining which clubs contributed to a player's training and the duration of that training, as well as the calculation of the compensation amount based on FIFA's categorization of clubs. CAS jurisprudence, as evidenced in cases like Bologna FC 1909 S.p.A. v. FC Barcelona⁹, demonstrates a meticulous approach to assessing whether a player's training period was indeed completed before a certain age, impacting the obligation to pay training compensation.

The solidarity mechanism, governed by Article 21 and Annex 5 of the RSTP¹⁰, ensures that clubs that contributed to a player's training between the ages of 12 and 23 receive a portion (5%) of the transfer fee each time the player is transferred internationally before the expiry of their contract. Disputes related to the solidarity mechanism often concern determining which clubs are entitled to a share of the transfer fee and the correct calculation of their respective entitlements based on the player's registration history. While similar to training compensation, the solidarity mechanism applies to transfers occurring even after the player's 23rd birthday, as long as the transfer takes place before the end of the contract. CAS plays a crucial role in adjudicating disputes arising from the application of this mechanism, ensuring that the intended beneficiaries receive their fair share of the transfer compensation. The interplay between FIFA regulations and CAS jurisprudence in both training compensation and solidarity mechanism disputes is vital for maintaining the integrity of youth development in football and fostering solidarity within the footballing community.

Breach of Contract Disputes in International Player Transfers and CAS

Intervention

Breach of contract disputes constitute a significant portion of the cases brought before the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS) in the context of international player transfers. These disputes can arise from various actions, including the premature termination of employment contracts by players or clubs without just cause, disagreements over contractual terms, and issues related to the conduct of agents. Article 17 of the FIFA Regulations on the Status and Transfer of

⁸ FIFA, *Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players* (January 2024 Edition), Art 20 and Annex 4.

⁹ Bologna FC 1909 S.p.A. v. FC Barcelona (CAS 2014/A/3710)

¹⁰ FIFA, *Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players* (January 2024 Edition), Art 21 and Annex 5.

Players (RSTP)¹¹ serves as the cornerstone for addressing breaches of contract, stipulating that a party terminating a contract without just cause is liable to pay compensation to the other party. CAS jurisprudence in this area has been instrumental in clarifying the interpretation and application of this article, as well as related provisions concerning sporting sanctions.

A landmark development in CAS jurisprudence concerning breach of contract is the shift from the “residual value” approach in calculating compensation (as seen in the *Webster* case¹²) to the principle of “positive interest,” established in the *Matuzalem* case¹³. This principle, now consistently applied by CAS, dictates that the injured party should be compensated for the entirety of the damage suffered as a result of the breach, aiming to put them in the financial position they would have been in had the contract been fulfilled. This includes not only the remaining salary but also other financial losses incurred. CAS also plays a crucial role in reviewing the proportionality and reciprocity of liquidated damages clauses included in player contracts, ensuring that the pre-agreed compensation amounts are fair and reasonable. In cases of unilateral termination by a player without just cause during the “protected period” (typically three years for players under 28 and two years for those over), FIFA regulations mandate sporting sanctions, usually a four-month ban from official matches, which CAS may uphold or even extend in cases of aggravating circumstances. The case of Ariel Ortega¹⁴ exemplifies CAS's direct involvement in adjudicating such disputes, where the panel has the authority to review the facts and the law *de novo*. Furthermore, CAS also addresses disputes involving pre-contracts, often treating them as binding employment contracts if they contain the essential elements, a view that can have significant implications in cases of alleged breach. Through its consistent application of legal principles and its willingness to scrutinize the specific circumstances of each case, CAS plays a vital role in maintaining contractual stability and ensuring that parties are held accountable for their contractual obligations in the context of international player transfers.

Critical Assessment of CAS's Effectiveness and Challenges

The Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS) has established itself as a crucial institution for resolving disputes in the complex world of international football transfers, offering a

¹¹ FIFA, *Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players* (January 2024 Edition), Art 17.

¹² *Heart of Midlothian FC v Webster* (CAS 2006/A/1212).

¹³ *FC Shakhtar Donetsk v Matuzalem & Real Zaragoza* (CAS 2008/A/1519 & 1520).

¹⁴ *Ariel Ortega* (CAS 2003/O/482)

specialized forum with considerable expertise in the unique legal and regulatory landscape of the sport. Its relative speed and cost-effectiveness compared to traditional litigation are often cited as key strengths, making it an attractive option for resolving cross-border disputes within the football community. However, CAS also faces certain challenges and criticisms that warrant consideration.

One area of ongoing debate concerns the transparency of CAS proceedings and awards. While some landmark decisions are made public, a significant portion of CAS awards remain unpublished, which can hinder the development of a fully transparent and predictable body of jurisprudence, potentially affecting legal certainty for stakeholders. Furthermore, questions regarding the consistency of CAS jurisprudence have been raised, as different panels may interpret similar issues in varying ways, although CAS often strives for a degree of adherence to precedent. Perhaps the most persistent challenge faced by CAS relates to its perceived independence from powerful sports governing bodies, particularly FIFA. Concerns have been voiced about potential influences, whether structural or indirect, that could impact the impartiality of CAS decisions, especially in cases involving these governing bodies. Network analysis of CAS arbitrators, as highlighted in reviews of Johan Lindholm's work, has also raised questions about potential biases within the arbitration pool.

Despite these challenges, the impact of CAS decisions on the development of international football law and the overall governance of player transfers is undeniable. CAS has played a significant role in shaping the interpretation and application of FIFA regulations, contributing to the emergence of a distinct *lex sportiva* that governs many aspects of international sports law. Its jurisprudence on issues such as breach of contract, training compensation, and the interpretation of transfer agreements has provided valuable guidance for clubs, players, and agents operating within this dynamic environment.

Conclusion

The Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS) stands as a pivotal institution in the resolution of player transfer disputes within the complex and financially driven world of international football. This analysis has explored the multifaceted role of CAS in adjudicating disagreements concerning transfer fees, training compensation, and breach of contract, highlighting its reliance on the FIFA Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players, the principles of Swiss

law, and the evolving body of *lex sportiva*. CAS serves as a crucial appellate body within FIFA's dispute resolution framework, providing a specialized forum for addressing the unique legal and regulatory challenges inherent in cross-border player movements. Its jurisprudence has significantly shaped the interpretation of key regulations and contractual clauses, contributing to the development of legal principles that aim to ensure fairness and stability within the international transfer system.

While CAS offers numerous advantages, including its expertise and relative efficiency, it also faces ongoing scrutiny regarding transparency, consistency, and its perceived independence from powerful governing bodies. Addressing these challenges is crucial for maintaining the trust and confidence of all stakeholders in the integrity of CAS as the ultimate arbiter in international football disputes. Future research could benefit from in-depth empirical analysis of publicly available CAS awards to further understand the nuances of its jurisprudence and its evolving impact on the governance of international football transfers. Ultimately, CAS remains an indispensable institution in navigating the legal complexities of this global sport, playing a vital role in maintaining order, resolving conflicts, and contributing to the ongoing development of the legal framework that underpins the dynamic system of international football player transfers.

Reference

1. Sell-on clauses in light of FIFA and CAS jurisprudence | BMDW Advocaten, , <https://bmdw.nl/wp-content/uploads/F.M.-de-Weger-D.-Luckson-Sell-on-clauses-in-light-of-FIFA-and-CAS-jurisprudence-Football-Legal.pdf>
2. THE EVOLUTION OF FIFA TRANSFER FOOTBALL REGULATIONS: CHALLENGES, OPPORTUNITIES, AND INNOVATIVE APPROACHES IN THE WAKE OF THE DIA, https://www.rdes.it/RDES_2024_BASTIANON_COLUCCI_copyright.pdf
3. The Economic and Legal Aspects of Transfers of Players - European Commission, <https://ec.europa.eu/assets/eac/sport/library/documents/cons-study-transfers-final-rpt.pdf>
4. Introduction to the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS) & the Role of National Courts in International Sports Disputes, An - University of Missouri School of Law <https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=&httpsredir=1&articl>

e=1124&context=jdr

5. ADR and Sport: Settling Disputes Through the Court of Arbitration for Sport, the FIFA Dispute Resolution Chamber, and the WIPO A - Marquette Law Scholarly Commons, <https://scholarship.law.marquette.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1609&context=sportslaw>
6. International Professional Football Law: Webster, Matuzalem and De Sanctis—The CAS Transfer 'Buy-Out' Rulings [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303647737 International Professional Football Law Webster Matuzalem and De Sanctis-The CAS Transfer 'Buy-Out' Rulings](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303647737_International_Professional_Football_Law_Webster_Matuzalem_and_De_Sanctis-The_CAS_Transfer_'Buy-Out'_Rulings)
7. A Procedural Guide to FIFA Dispute Resolution - Aceris Law LLC, <https://www.acerislaw.com/a-procedural-guide-to-fifa-dispute-resolution/>
8. The Jurisprudence of the FIFA Dispute Resolution Chamber | springerprofessional.de, <https://www.springerprofessional.de/en/the-jurisprudence-of-the-fifa-dispute-resolution-chamber/10800126>
9. The FIFA Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players: Transnational Law-Making in the Shadow of Bosman - [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303537591 The FIFA Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players Transnational Law-Making in the Shadow of Bosman](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303537591_The_FIFA_Regulations_on_the_Status_and_Transfer_of_Players_Transnational_Law-Making_in_the_Shadow_of_Bosman)
10. Sport, Mediation and Arbitration - Asser.nl, <https://www.asser.nl/asserpress/books/?rId=4269>
11. Transfers of Football Players - SPORTS LAW AND POLICY CENTRE, [https://www.sportslawandpolicycentre.com/BULLETIN%201 2020 FLYER.pdf](https://www.sportslawandpolicycentre.com/BULLETIN%201%202020_FLYER.pdf)
12. New book published on the Transfer of Football Players - A Practical Approach to Implementing FIFA Rules - LawInSport, <https://www.lawinsport.com/topics/news/item/new-book-published-on-the-transfer-of-football-players-a-practical-approach-to-implementing-fifa-rules>
13. TRANSFERS OF FOOTBALL PLAYERS - A practical approach to implementing FIFA rules - Michele Colucci, [https://www.colucci.eu/TRANSFERS%20OF%20FOOTBALL%20PLAYERS PART %20I.pdf](https://www.colucci.eu/TRANSFERS%20OF%20FOOTBALL%20PLAYERS_PART%20I.pdf)
14. Pre-contracts in football employment relationships: a CAS case - The Impact Lawyers, <https://theimpactlawyers.com/articles/pre-contracts-in-football-employment->

relationships-a-cas-case

15. Challenging Awards of the Court of Arbitration for Sport - Oxford Academic,
<https://academic.oup.com/jids/article/1/1/217/879395>

