



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL
ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, translated, or distributed in any form or by any means—whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise—without the prior written permission of the Editor-in-Chief of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*.

All copyrights in the articles published in this journal vest with *White Black Legal – The Law Journal*, unless otherwise expressly stated. Authors are solely responsible for the originality, authenticity, accuracy, and legality of the content submitted and published.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles are exclusively those of the respective authors. They do not represent or reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, or Management of *White Black Legal*.

While reasonable efforts are made to ensure academic quality and accuracy through editorial and peer-review processes, *White Black Legal* makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, regarding the completeness, accuracy, reliability, or suitability of the content published. The journal shall not be liable for any errors, omissions, inaccuracies, or consequences arising from the use, interpretation, or reliance upon the information contained in this publication.

The content published in this journal is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice, professional advice, or legal opinion. *White Black Legal* expressly disclaims all liability for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising directly or indirectly from the use of any material published herein.

ABOUT WHITE BLACK LEGAL

White Black Legal – The Law Journal is an open-access, peer-reviewed, and refereed legal journal established to provide a scholarly platform for the examination and discussion of contemporary legal issues. The journal is dedicated to encouraging rigorous legal research, critical analysis, and informed academic discourse across diverse fields of law.

The journal invites contributions from law students, researchers, academicians, legal practitioners, and policy scholars. By facilitating engagement between emerging scholars and experienced legal professionals, *White Black Legal* seeks to bridge theoretical legal research with practical, institutional, and societal perspectives.

In a rapidly evolving social, economic, and technological environment, the journal endeavours to examine the changing role of law and its impact on governance, justice systems, and society. *White Black Legal* remains committed to academic integrity, ethical research practices, and the dissemination of accessible legal scholarship to a global readership.

AIM & SCOPE

The aim of *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* is to promote excellence in legal research and to provide a credible academic forum for the analysis, discussion, and advancement of contemporary legal issues. The journal encourages original, analytical, and well-researched contributions that add substantive value to legal scholarship.

The journal publishes scholarly works examining doctrinal, theoretical, empirical, and interdisciplinary perspectives of law. Submissions are welcomed from academicians, legal professionals, researchers, scholars, and students who demonstrate intellectual rigour, analytical clarity, and relevance to current legal and policy developments.

The scope of the journal includes, but is not limited to:

- Constitutional and Administrative Law
- Criminal Law and Criminal Justice
- Corporate, Commercial, and Business Laws
- Intellectual Property and Technology Law
- International Law and Human Rights
- Environmental and Sustainable Development Law
- Cyber Law, Artificial Intelligence, and Emerging Technologies
- Family Law, Labour Law, and Social Justice Studies

The journal accepts original research articles, case comments, legislative and policy analyses, book reviews, and interdisciplinary studies addressing legal issues at national and international levels. All submissions are subject to a rigorous double-blind peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, *White Black Legal – The Law Journal* seeks to foster critical legal thinking and contribute to the development of law as an instrument of justice, governance, and social progress, while expressly disclaiming responsibility for the application or misuse of published content.

PERSISTENCE AND TRANSFORMATION OF CASTE DISCRIMINATION IN URBAN INDIA: A SOCIO- LEGAL STUDY

AUTHORED BY - GNANESWARIR

III LLB

SCHOOL OF LAW, VISTAS, CHENNAI

CO AUTHOR - R.VIMALA

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

SCHOOL OF LAW, VISTAS

ABSTRACT

Urbanization in India has long been perceived as a transformative force capable of weakening traditional caste hierarchies and enabling social mobility. Cities are often imagined as meritocratic spaces where individuals interact beyond inherited identities. However, empirical realities suggest that caste discrimination has not disappeared from urban life; instead, it has evolved into subtle, institutional, and structural forms that continue to influence access to employment, housing, education, and social networks. This article critically examines the persistence of caste discrimination in urban modern spaces through a socio-legal lens. It analyzes constitutional protections, statutory safeguards, and landmark judicial decisions addressing caste inequality while exploring contemporary manifestations of discrimination within urban institutions. The study further evaluates the socio-economic causes and psychological consequences of caste-based exclusion and proposes policy recommendations for strengthening anti-discrimination mechanisms in urban governance. The article argues that while legal reforms have established a strong normative framework against caste discrimination, structural inequalities continue to reproduce caste hierarchies in modern institutional environments. Effective enforcement, institutional accountability, inclusive policy design, and social awareness remain essential for realizing the constitutional vision of equality and dignity in urban India.

Keywords: Caste discrimination, urban inequality, social justice, constitutional law, reservation policy, structural inequality.

Introduction

Caste remains one of the most enduring structures shaping social relations in India. Historically rooted in occupational division and hierarchical stratification, caste continues to influence access to opportunities even within modern urban environments. Although urbanization has transformed economic structures and social mobility patterns, it has not eliminated caste-based inequalities. Instead, caste identities have adapted to contemporary institutional frameworks.

Cities are commonly perceived as spaces of anonymity and opportunity. The expectation that modernization would reduce caste-based discrimination emerged from the belief that industrialization and migration weaken traditional social structures. However, sociological evidence demonstrates that caste continues to operate through informal networks, institutional bias, and structural exclusion in urban contexts.

Urban caste discrimination is rarely overt. Unlike rural environments where caste hierarchies are often visible, urban discrimination frequently operates through indirect mechanisms such as surname identification, housing exclusion, workplace bias, and social isolation within professional environments. These subtle forms of discrimination make caste inequality more difficult to identify and regulate through conventional legal mechanisms.

This article examines the persistence, transformation, and consequences of caste discrimination in urban modern spaces. It evaluates constitutional safeguards, legislative protections, and judicial interventions while analyzing the structural causes sustaining caste inequality in cities.

Historical Evolution of Caste Discrimination in India

The caste system originated as a hierarchical social structure organizing society into occupational groups. Over time, the varna system evolved into a complex network of jatis that regulated social interaction, marriage practices, and access to resources. Communities categorized as “untouchables” were historically excluded from public spaces, education, and economic participation.

During colonial rule, caste identities became further institutionalized through census classification and administrative categorization. While colonial governance did not create caste hierarchies, it reinforced their structural significance in governance and social organization.

Social reform movements led by thinkers such as Dr. B. R. Ambedkar, Jyotirao Phule, and Periyar E. V. Ramasamy played a critical role in challenging caste oppression and advocating equality. These movements influenced the constitutional commitment to social justice after independence.

The Constitution of India introduced a transformative framework aimed at dismantling caste-based inequality through fundamental rights and affirmative action policies.

Constitutional Framework Against Caste Discrimination

The Constitution of India provides a strong legal foundation for eliminating caste-based discrimination.

Article 14 guarantees equality before law and equal protection of laws.

Article 15 prohibits discrimination on the grounds of caste, religion, sex, or place of birth.

Article 16 ensures equality of opportunity in public employment.

Article 17 abolishes untouchability and declares its practice punishable by law.

These provisions collectively establish the constitutional vision of substantive equality rather than merely formal equality. The Constitution recognizes that historical disadvantage requires targeted intervention through reservation policies and protective legislation.

Legislative enactments such as the Protection of Civil Rights Act, 1955 and the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 strengthen the constitutional mandate by criminalizing discriminatory practices and providing legal remedies for victims.

Despite this extensive legal framework, caste discrimination continues to persist in urban institutions, revealing the gap between constitutional ideals and social realities.

Judicial Response to Caste Discrimination

The judiciary has played an important role in interpreting constitutional provisions relating to caste equality.

In *State of Karnataka v. Appa Balu Ingale* (1992), the Supreme Court condemned denial of access to public resources based on caste identity and reaffirmed that untouchability violates

constitutional guarantees.

Similarly, in *Indra Sawhney v. Union of India* (1992), the Court upheld reservation policies as essential tools for addressing historical injustice and promoting substantive equality.

In *Safai Karamchari Andolan v. Union of India* (2014), the Court declared manual scavenging unconstitutional and directed governments to implement rehabilitation measures for affected communities.

These decisions demonstrate the judiciary's commitment to protecting dignity and equality while recognizing structural inequality as a continuing social challenge.

Forms of Caste Discrimination in Urban Modern Spaces

Housing Discrimination

Housing discrimination represents one of the most significant manifestations of caste inequality in cities. Marginalized communities often face barriers when attempting to rent or purchase property. Landlords may refuse accommodation based on caste identity or community affiliation.

Residential segregation restricts access to infrastructure, employment opportunities, and quality education. Such spatial exclusion reinforces social inequality across generations.

Employment Discrimination

Urban labor markets are often described as merit-based environments. However, caste identity continues to influence recruitment decisions, promotion opportunities, and workplace interactions.

Hiring through informal networks often disadvantages marginalized communities lacking social capital. Occupational clustering also persists, with members of disadvantaged castes disproportionately represented in sanitation work, domestic service, and informal sector employment.

Educational Discrimination

Educational institutions play a critical role in promoting social mobility. Nevertheless, students from marginalized caste backgrounds frequently experience social exclusion, stereotyping, and

institutional bias.

Stigmatization associated with reservation policies further contributes to psychological stress and academic isolation.

Digital-Space Discrimination

Modern technology has not eliminated caste identity. Matrimonial websites, online forums, and social media platforms often reinforce caste categories. Digital harassment targeting caste identity represents a growing challenge in contemporary urban society.

Socio-Economic Causes of Urban Caste Discrimination

Urban caste discrimination is sustained by multiple structural factors.

Historical Legacy

Centuries of social stratification have created entrenched inequalities that continue to influence institutional behavior and social attitudes.

Informal Social Networks

Urban employment and housing opportunities frequently depend on community networks. These networks indirectly reproduce caste-based exclusion.

Economic Inequality

Marginalized communities often face limited access to education and financial resources, restricting upward mobility.

Cultural Attitudes

Endogamous marriage practices and social stereotypes reinforce caste boundaries even in metropolitan environments.

Institutional Barriers

Recruitment practices based on recommendations and social connections disadvantage individuals outside dominant caste networks.

Impact of Caste Discrimination in Urban Society

Caste discrimination affects multiple dimensions of urban life.

Economic Impact

Restricted employment opportunities limit income mobility and reinforce poverty cycles among marginalized communities.

Educational Impact

Unequal access to quality education reduces long-term professional advancement opportunities.

Psychological Impact

Experiences of discrimination contribute to anxiety, alienation, and reduced self-confidence.

Social Impact

Residential segregation and social exclusion weaken community cohesion.

Democratic Impact

Marginalization reduces participation in governance and policy-making processes, undermining democratic equality.

Role of Affirmative Action Policies

Reservation policies represent one of the most significant constitutional strategies for addressing caste inequality.

Affirmative action improves representation in educational institutions, public employment, and legislative bodies. These measures aim to correct historical injustice rather than provide preferential treatment.

The Supreme Court has repeatedly upheld reservations as essential for achieving substantive equality.

However, the effectiveness of reservation policies depends on proper implementation and expansion into emerging sectors such as private employment and urban governance institutions.

Challenges in Implementation of Anti-Discrimination Laws

Despite strong legal protections, several obstacles hinder effective enforcement:

Lack of awareness regarding legal rights

Social stigma associated with reporting discrimination

Institutional bias within enforcement agencies

Weak monitoring mechanisms

Persistence of cultural prejudice

Addressing these challenges requires strengthening institutional accountability and improving access to legal remedies.

Policy Recommendations

Reducing caste discrimination in urban environments requires coordinated institutional action.

Strengthening Legal Enforcement

Special monitoring authorities should track caste discrimination cases and ensure timely justice delivery.

Inclusive Housing Policies

Urban planning must promote mixed-community housing and prevent residential segregation.

Equal Employment Opportunities

Transparent recruitment practices and workplace diversity policies should be encouraged.

Educational Reform

Educational institutions must establish anti-discrimination committees and provide psychological support mechanisms.

Awareness Campaigns

Public sensitization programs should promote constitutional values of equality and dignity.

Data-Driven Policy Making

Regular research on urban caste inequality can support evidence-based policymaking.

Conclusion

Urbanization has transformed many aspects of Indian society but has not eliminated caste discrimination. Instead, caste has adapted to modern institutional structures through subtle and indirect mechanisms affecting housing, employment, education, and social interaction.

Although constitutional provisions and legislative safeguards provide a strong normative framework against caste discrimination, effective implementation remains a major challenge. Structural inequality, informal social networks, and cultural attitudes continue to reproduce caste hierarchies within urban environments.

Achieving the constitutional vision of equality requires strengthening institutional enforcement mechanisms, promoting inclusive policy design, and encouraging social awareness initiatives. Addressing caste discrimination in urban modern spaces is essential not only for protecting individual dignity but also for ensuring inclusive urban development and democratic justice in contemporary India.

REFERENCES / BIBLIOGRAPHY

Constitutional Provisions

Constitution of India, 1950

Articles 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 38, 46

Statutes

The Protection of Civil Rights Act, 1955

The Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989

The Prohibition of Employment as Manual Scavengers and Their Rehabilitation Act, 2013

The Right to Education Act, 2009

The Equal Remuneration Act, 1976

The Code on Wages, 2019

Case Laws

State of Karnataka v. Appa Balu Ingale (1995) Supp (4) SCC 469

Indra Sawhney v. Union of India AIR 1993 SC 477

Safai Karamchari Andolan v. Union of India (2014) 11 SCC 224

People's Union for Democratic Rights v. Union of India AIR 1982 SC 1473

Subhash Kashinath Mahajan v. State of Maharashtra (2018) 6 SCC 454

M. Nagaraj v. Union of India (2006) 8 SCC 212

Ashoka Kumar Thakur v. Union of India (2008) 6 SCC 1

E.V. Chinnaiah v. State of Andhra Pradesh (2005) 1 SCC 394

Journal Articles

Sukhadeo Thorat & Paul Attewell, "The Legacy of Social Exclusion: A Correspondence Study of Job Discrimination in India," Economic and Political Weekly (2007)

Satish Deshpande, "Caste and Castelessness: Towards a Biography of the 'General Category'," Economic and Political Weekly (2003)

Ghanshyam Shah, "Caste Discrimination and Untouchability in India," Sage Publications (2006)

Nidhi Sadana Sabharwal & Guru Gopal, "Caste Discrimination in Higher Education," Indian Institute of Dalit Studies Working Paper Series

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL