



INTERNATIONAL LAW
JOURNAL

**WHITE BLACK
LEGAL LAW
JOURNAL
ISSN: 2581-
8503**

Peer - Reviewed & Refereed Journal

The Law Journal strives to provide a platform for discussion of International as well as National Developments in the Field of Law.

WWW.WHITEBLACKLEGAL.CO.IN

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Editor-in-chief of White Black Legal – The Law Journal. The Editorial Team of White Black Legal holds the copyright to all articles contributed to this publication. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of White Black Legal. Though all efforts are made to ensure the accuracy and correctness of the information published, White Black Legal shall not be responsible for any errors caused due to oversight or otherwise.

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL

EDITORIAL **TEAM**

Raju Narayana Swamy (IAS) Indian Administrative Service **officer**



Dr. Raju Narayana Swamy popularly known as Kerala's Anti-Corruption Crusader is the All India Topper of the 1991 batch of the IAS and is currently posted as Principal Secretary to the Government of Kerala. He has earned many accolades as he hit against the political-bureaucrat corruption nexus in India. Dr Swamy holds a B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from the IIT Madras and a Ph. D. in Cyber Law from Gujarat National Law University. He also has an LLM (Pro) (with specialization in IPR) as well as three PG Diplomas from the National Law University, Delhi- one in Urban Environmental Management and Law, another in Environmental Law and Policy and a third one in Tourism and Environmental Law. He also holds a post-graduate diploma in IPR from the National Law School, Bengaluru and diploma in Public

a professional Procurement from the World Bank.

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay

Dr. R. K. Upadhyay is Registrar, University of Kota (Raj.), Dr Upadhyay obtained LLB , LLM degrees from Banaras Hindu University & Phd from university of Kota.He has succesfully completed UGC sponsored M.R.P for the work in the ares of the various prisoners reforms in the state of the Rajasthan.



Senior Editor

Dr. Neha Mishra



Dr. Neha Mishra is Associate Professor & Associate Dean (Scholarships) in Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal Global University. She was awarded both her PhD degree and Associate Professor & Associate Dean M.A.; LL.B. (University of Delhi); LL.M.; Ph.D. (NLSIU, Bangalore) LLM from National Law School of India University, Bengaluru; she did her LL.B. from Faculty of Law, Delhi University as well as M.A. and B.A. from Hindu College and DCAC from DU respectively. Neha has been a Visiting Fellow, School of Social Work, Michigan State University, 2016 and invited speaker Panelist at Global Conference, Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute, Washington University in St.Louis, 2015.

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi,

Ms. Sumiti Ahuja completed her LL.M. from the Indian Law Institute with specialization in Criminal Law and Corporate Law, and has over nine years of teaching experience. She has done her LL.B. from the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. She is currently pursuing Ph.D. in the area of Forensics and Law. Prior to joining the teaching profession, she has worked as Research Assistant for projects funded by different agencies of Govt. of India. She has developed various audio-video teaching modules under UGC e-PG Pathshala programme in the area of Criminology, under the aegis of an MHRD Project. Her areas of interest are Criminal Law, Law of Evidence, Interpretation of Statutes, and Clinical Legal Education.



Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal

Dr. Navtika Singh Nautiyal presently working as an Assistant Professor in School of law, Forensic Justice and Policy studies at National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat. She has 9 years of Teaching and Research Experience. She has completed her Philosophy of Doctorate in 'Intercountry adoption laws from Uttranchal University, Dehradun' and LLM from Indian Law Institute, New Delhi.



Dr. Rinu Saraswat

Associate Professor at School of Law, Apex University, Jaipur,
M.A, LL.M, Ph.D,

Dr. Rinu have 5 yrs of teaching experience in renowned institutions like Jagannath University and Apex University. Participated in more than 20 national and international seminars and conferences and 5 workshops and training programmes.

Dr. Nitesh Saraswat

E.MBA, LL.M, Ph.D, PGDSAPM

Currently working as Assistant Professor at Law Centre II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Dr. Nitesh have 14 years of Teaching, Administrative and research experience in Renowned Institutions like Amity University, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University Jodhpur, Jagannath University and Nirma University.

More than 25 Publications in renowned National and International Journals and has authored a Text book on Cr.P.C and Juvenile Delinquency law.



Subhrajit Chanda

BBA. LL.B. (Hons.) (Amity University, Rajasthan); LL. M. (UPES, Dehradun) (Nottingham Trent University, UK); Ph.D. Candidate (G.D. Goenka University)

Subhrajit did his LL.M. in Sports Law, from Nottingham Trent University of United Kingdoms, with international scholarship provided by university; he has also completed another LL.M. in Energy Law from University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India. He did his B.B.A.LL.B. (Hons.) focussing on International Trade Law.

ABOUT US

WHITE BLACK LEGAL is an open access, peer-reviewed and refereed journal provided dedicated to express views on topical legal issues, thereby generating a cross current of ideas on emerging matters. This platform shall also ignite the initiative and desire of young law students to contribute in the field of law. The erudite response of legal luminaries shall be solicited to enable readers to explore challenges that lie before law makers, lawyers and the society at large, in the event of the ever changing social, economic and technological scenario.

With this thought, we hereby present to you

EVOLUTION OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION MECHANISMS IN MATRIMONIAL DISPUTES UNDER HINDU LAW

AUTHORED BY - SHALU PANDEY

Research Scholar, RNB Global University, Bikaner 334006

Abstract

The delicate and emotionally charged nature of marital conflicts necessitates methods that are compassionate, efficient, and equitable. Within the framework of Hindu law, matrimonial cases have historically been adjudicated within the formal court system. However, the escalating backlog and inherently adversarial nature of litigation have fueled the rise in prominence of, and preference for, Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms. This analysis examines the trajectory of ADR in marital disputes within the ambit of Hindu law, considering the historical context, legislative developments, judicial precedents, and the practical challenges encountered. Furthermore, the paper assesses how ADR techniques like mediation, conciliation, and family courts have been adapted to accommodate the unique nuances of family law disputes in India.

Keywords: Alternative Dispute Resolution, Hindu Law, Matrimonial Disputes, Mediation, Family Courts, Conciliation, Judicial Trends

1. Introduction

Matrimonial disagreements diverge significantly from commercial or civil disputes because of their emotional, social, and personal impacts. Under Hindu law, marriage is regarded as a sacred bond, and its dissolution is often fraught with cultural and religious sensitivities. Traditional litigation could potentially amplify the hostility between spouses, thereby undermining any prospects of reconciliation. Therefore, the imperative for Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms has become increasingly apparent.

This paper investigates how ADR has evolved in resolving matrimonial disagreements among Hindus, focusing on its legislative basis, the interpretations of the judiciary, and the growing

favour for conciliatory over confrontational approaches.

2. The Concept of ADR in Matrimonial Disputes

Alternative Dispute Resolution encompasses methods such as:

- Mediation
- Conciliation
- Negotiation
- Lok Adalats
- Family Counselling

In matrimonial disagreements, the aims of ADR methods are to:

- Preserve family bonds where feasible.
- Resolve disputes expeditiously.
- Minimize emotional and financial strain.
- Encourage reconciliation over separation.

3. Historical Development under Hindu Law

In the past, Hindu society addressed marital conflicts within the family or community settings, utilizing forums like panchayats, with a strong emphasis on reconciliation. However, during British rule, personal laws underwent codification, and matrimonial issues entered the formal judicial arena.

The Hindu Marriage Act of 1955 represented a substantial shift, as it legalized divorce while also highlighting the significance of reconciliation, specifically in sections 23(2) and 34(3) of the Act.

4. Legislative Framework Supporting ADR in Matrimonial Disputes

4.1. Hindu Marriage Act, 1955

- Section 23(2): Courts are mandated to attempt reconciliation before granting a divorce.
- Section 34(3): Allows for the appointment of a counselor to assist in reconciliation.

4.2. Family Courts Act, 1984

- This Act established Family Courts specifically to handle matrimonial and family matters.

- Section 9: Places a duty on Family Courts to strive for settlement before initiating a trial.
- Section 10(3): Allows for the utilisation of conciliation and mediation processes.

4.3. Code of Civil Procedure (Amendment), 2002

- Section 89 CPC: This mandates that courts refer appropriate cases to ADR where relevant.

4.4. Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987

- This provides for Lok Adalats, which frequently handle matrimonial matters using informal, non-adversarial processes.

5. Judicial Approach to ADR in Matrimonial Disputes

The Indian judiciary has consistently endorsed the use of ADR in family disputes. Key judgments include:

5.1. Afcons Infrastructure Ltd. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co. (2010)

The ruling stated that matrimonial disputes, excluding those involving serious criminal accusations, are appropriate for mediation.

5.2. K. Srinivas Rao v. D.A. Deepa (2013)

This case underscored the utility of counselling and mediation in resolving marital discord, along with curbing the potential for misuse of Section 498A IPC.

5.3. Bhupinder Singh v. Gurpal Kaur (2011)

Encouraged the involved parties to explore settlement alternatives even after filing an appeal.

6. Mediation in Family Disputes

Mediation has surfaced as the most effective ADR mechanism in dealing with matrimonial issues. Its characteristics include:

- Voluntary participation
- Confidentiality
- A neutral mediator
- The preservation of existing relationships

Court-affiliated mediation centers have been established across various High Courts and District Courts.

7. Family Courts: Institutionalization of ADR

Family Courts represent a hybrid system that integrates formal court procedures with informal resolution mechanisms. Features include:

- In-camera proceedings
- The presence of counselors
- Informal procedures
- A friendly and conducive environment

These attributes serve to mitigate the trauma associated with litigation and also encourage reconciliation.

8. Challenges in ADR for Matrimonial Disputes

- The potential for power imbalances between spouses
- Scarcity of qualified mediators, especially in rural areas
- The societal stigma surrounding divorce
- The non-enforceability of mediation agreements unless formalized by the court
- Cases involving domestic violence which are often unsuitable for ADR

9. Comparative Perspective

Several countries, including the UK and Australia, mandate pre-litigation family mediation. India is gradually transitioning in this direction through pilot programs and judicial pronouncements, encouraging mandatory pre-litigation mediation in matrimonial disputes.

10. Critical Analysis

The Indian approach to ADR in matrimonial disputes under Hindu law reflects a balance between tradition and modernity. While formal laws enable divorce and separation, methods such as mediation and conciliation encourage the possibility of reconciliation. However, greater institutional support, standardized procedures, and professional training are essential to improve ADR's effectiveness, especially in cases involving emotional and psychological abuse.

11. Conclusion

ADR mechanisms in matrimonial disputes within the scope of Hindu law have progressed from community-based reconciliations to institutionalized processes such as mediation and family courts. The enduring objective remains minimizing adversarial conflict and nurturing familial harmony whenever possible. Although legal frameworks and judicial trends lend support to ADR, sustained refinement and sensitivity are essential to safeguard justice and uphold the dignity of all parties involved.

12. References

1. Hindu Marriage Act, 1955
2. Family Court Act, 1984
3. Code Of Civil Procedure (Amendment) 2002
4. Legal Services Authorities Act 1987
5. Afcons Infrastructure Ltd. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co., (2010) 8 SCC 24
6. K. Srinivas Rao v. D.A. Deepa, (2013) 5 SCC 226
7. Bhupinder Singh v. Gurpal Kaur, (2011) 15 SCC 530
8. Malhotra, Indu. Law and Practice of Arbitration and Conciliation. LexisNexis.
9. Batra, M. Family Law and ADR in India. Eastern Book Company.
10. Report of the Law Commission of India, 259th Report on Reforms in Family Law (2015)

WHITE BLACK
LEGAL